arXiv:1606.02369v3 [math.AG] 2 Dec 2016

MODULI SPACE OF IRREGULAR SINGULAR PARABOLIC CONNECTIONS OF GENERIC RAMIFIED TYPE ON A SMOOTH PROJECTIVE CURVE

MICHI-AKI INABA

Abstract. We give an algebraic construction of the moduli space of irregular singular connections of generic ramified type on a smooth projective curve. We prove that the moduli space is smooth and give its dimension. Under the assumption that the exponent of ramified type is generic, we give an algebraic symplectic form on the moduli space.

Introduction

Moduli space of irregular singular connections are expected to be obtained as a canonical degeneration of the moduli spaces of regular singular connections. Indeed it is a well-known classical result by R. Fuchs that Painlevé VI equations can be obtained as the isomonodromic deformation of rank two regular singular connections on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with four poles and other types of Painlevé equations are known to be obtained as generalized isomonodromic deformations of rank two connections on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with irregular singularities. The space of initial conditions of Painlevé equations are constructed by K. Okamoto in [14] for all types whose compactifications are classified by H. Sakai in [16] and these spaces are related to one another by canonical degenerations. K. Iwasaki, M.-H. Saito and the author constructed in [11], [12] and [10] the moduli space of regular singular parabolic connections on smooth projective curves and recovered the space of initial conditions of Painlevé VI equations constructed by K. Okamoto as a special case. We can obtain the geometric Painlevé property of the isomonodromic deformation on the moduli spaces in general and then we can say that the constructed moduli space is the space of initial conditions of the isomonodromic deformation. Here see [10], Definition 2.4 for the definition of the geometric Painlevé property. The author's hope is to extend this procedure to good moduli spaces of irregular singular connections. Notice that we cannot assume that the underlying vector bundle is trivial, when the base curve is $\mathbb{P}^1$ and the degree of the underlying bundle is zero, for the purpose of obtaining the geometric Painlevé property.

In [2], O. Biquard and P. Boalch gave an analytic construction of the moduli space of irregular singular connections whose leading term at each singular point is semi-simple without the assumption of the triviality of the underlying bundle. A typical case of semi-simple leading term is a generic unramified connection. M.-H. Saito and the author gave in [13] an algebraic construction of the moduli space of unramified irregular singular connections on a smooth projective curve and they do not assume that the underlying bundle is trivial even if the base curve is $\mathbb{P}^1$ and the degree of the bundle is zero. Here notice that usual ramified connections do not have semi-simple leading term at each ramified point.

Compared with unramified case, the algebraic construction of the moduli space of irregular singular connections of ramified type seems to be difficult. P. Boalch gave in [3] an algebraic construction of the moduli space of irregular singular connections on $\mathbb{P}^1$. In fact he constructed the moduli space of irregular singular connections with generic generalized eigenvalues which is locally framed at singular points and called it the extended moduli space. He gave in [3] a definition of the moduli space of irregular singular connections without local framing, which is obtained as a symplectic reduction of the extended moduli space. He gave in [4] an explicit description of the relations between two moduli spaces. In [8], C. L. Bremer and D. S. Sage generalized the result [3] by P. Boalch to ramified connections and gave an algebraic construction of the moduli space of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D20, 53D30, 32G34, 34M55.

irregular singular connections on $\mathbb{P}^1$ which is locally framed with the formal type admitting ramified type. The definition of the moduli space without local framing was also given in [8]. K. Hiroe and D. Yamakawa gave in [9] a clear summary of the construction of the moduli space as a symplectic reduction of the extended moduli space. Here we remark that in all these results, the underlying vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1$ are assumed to be trivial.

For the character variety side, the moduli spaces were constructed in [4], [5], [6], [7] and [15], which are expected to be related with appropriate moduli spaces of irregular singular connections via the generalized Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.

The difficulty of the construction of the moduli space of irregular singular connections seems to be in the control of the formal data of the irregular singular connections. Indeed the automorphisms of a formal connection effect on the global automorphisms of the underlying bundle and this effect makes it hard to construct the global moduli space. Boalch's idea is to conquer this difficulty by considering local framing and he defined the extended moduli space. The moduli space of irregular singular connections on $\mathbb{P}^1$ without local framing is obtained as a symplectic reduction of the extended moduli space but here the underlying bundles are assumed to be trivial.

In this paper, we construct a moduli space of ramified connections on a smooth projective curve whose generalized eigenvalues are generic in ramified types. A typical one is the moduli space of pairs (E, ∇_E) of an algebraic vector bundle E on a smooth projective curve and a connection ∇_E on E such that the leading coefficients of the generalized eigenvalues of ∇_E at each unramified point are mutually distinct and that the formalization of ∇_E at each ramified point is isomorphic to

$$\nabla_\nu \colon \mathbb{C}[[w]]^r \ni f \mapsto df + \nu f \in \mathbb{C}[[w]]^r \otimes \frac{dz}{z^m},$$

where z is a uniformizing parameter of the base curve at the ramified point, $w \mapsto w^r = z$ is a ramified covering and $\nu = \sum_{l=0}^{mr-r} c_l \frac{w^l dw}{w^{mr-r+1}}$ is generic, especially $c_1 \neq 0$. We want to give the moduli space which is a canonical degeneration of the moduli space of regular singular connections of full dimensional type. So we use the Hukuhara-Turrittin invariants as a formal data. In fact we paraphrase the Hukuhara-Turrittin invariants of the ramified irregular singular connections to a certain data obtained by restriction of the connection to a divisor on the curve. A key idea is to introduce a data of filtration on the restriction of the underlying bundle to the divisor of poles. The idea of considering this filtration is similar to that in [8]. Once the formulation of the objects representing ramified connections are established, a construction of the moduli space becomes a standard task. For the construction of the moduli space, we use a locally closed embedding of the moduli space to the moduli space of parabolic Λ^1_D-triples constructed in [11], which is essentially obtained by a similar method to the GIT construction of the moduli space of vector bundles which uses the Quot-scheme. The basic idea of the moduli space construction was inspired by Simpson's works in [18] and [19]. The smoothness and the dimension counting of the moduli space follow from a standard deformation theory. We can construct a canonical two form on the moduli space and we can prove its d-closedness and non-degeneracy in the case of generic exponent by construction of deformations of the moduli space to the moduli spaces of unramified connections and regular singular connections.

Acknowledgments. This work is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 26400043.

1. Formal data of a ramified connection and its paraphrase

In this section we first recall elementary properties of formal connections of ramified type.

Consider the formal power series ring $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ and denote by $\mathbb{C}((z))$ its quotient field. We say that (W, ∇) is a formal connection over $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ if V is a free $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$-module of finite rank and $\nabla : W \longrightarrow W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[z]] \cdot \frac{dz}{z^m}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map satisfying $\nabla(fa) = a \otimes df + f\nabla(a)$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ and $a \in W$. Thanks to the Hukuhara-Turrittin theorem ([1], Proposition 1.4.1 or [17], Theorem 6.8.1), we can see that there is a positive integer l and for a variable w with $w^l = z$, there are $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s \in$

$\sum_{k=0}^{mr-r}\mathbb{C}\cdot\frac{w^k dw}{w^{ml-l+1}}$, positive integers $r_1,\dots,r_s$ such that $(W\otimes\mathbb{C}((w)),\nabla\otimes\mathbb{C}((w)))$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}((w))^{r_1},d+J(\nu_1,r_1))\oplus\cdots\oplus(\mathbb{C}((w))^{r_s},d+J(\nu_s,r_s))$ where $d+J(\nu_i,r_i):\mathbb{C}((w))^{r_i}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}((w))^{r_i}\cdot\frac{dz}{z^m}$ is the connection given by

$$\begin{pmatrix}f_1\\ \vdots\\ f_{r_i}\end{pmatrix}\mapsto\begin{pmatrix}df_1\\ \vdots\\ df_{r_i}\end{pmatrix}+\left(\begin{pmatrix}\nu_i & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \nu_i & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \nu_i\end{pmatrix}+\frac{dw}{w}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0\end{pmatrix}\right)\begin{pmatrix}f_1\\ \vdots\\ f_{r_i}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $\nu_i-\nu_j\notin\mathbb{Z}\frac{dw}{w}$ unless $\nu_i=\nu_j$ and $\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s \mod \mathbb{Z}\frac{dw}{w}$ are invariants of (W,∇). We say that $\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s$ are generalized eigenvalues of ∇ or exponents of ∇. In general, a ramified cover $w\mapsto w^l=z$ is necessary for obtaining the invariants $\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s$. If we can take $\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s$ for $w=z$, then we say that ∇ is unramified.

Definition 1.1. We say that a formal connection (V,∇) over $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ is formally irreducible if there is no subbundle $0\neq W\subsetneq V$ satisfying $\nabla(W)\subset W\otimes\frac{dz}{z^m}$.

We can see the following proposition immediately by induction on $\operatorname{rank}W$.

Proposition 1.1. *For a formal connection (W,∇) over $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ with $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{C}[[z]]}W<\infty$, there is a filtration $0=W_s\subset W_{s-1}\subset\cdots\subset W_0=W$ such that $\nabla(W_i)\subset W_i\otimes\frac{dz}{z^m}$, each W_i/W_{i+1} is a free $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$-module and $(W_i/W_{i+1},\nabla_{W_i/W_{i+1}})$ is formally irreducible, where $\nabla_{W_i/W_{i+1}}$ is the connection on W_i/W_{i+1} induced by $\nabla|_{W_i}$.*

We will see what data determines the formal connection $(W_i/W_{i+1},\nabla_{W_i/W_{i+1}})$. So we assume that (W,∇) is formally irreducible for simplicity. For a ramified cover $w\mapsto w^l=z$, take a generalized eigenvalue $\nu\in\mathbb{C}[[w]]\frac{dz}{z^m}$ of $(W\otimes\mathbb{C}((w)),\nabla\otimes\mathbb{C}((w)))$ and an eigen vector $v\in W\otimes\mathbb{C}((w))$, that is, $\nabla v=\nu v$. If we take a generator σ of $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C}((w))/\mathbb{C}((z)))$ then the $\mathbb{C}((w))$-subspace generated by $\{\sigma^k(v)|0\le k\le l-1\}$ descends to a subspace W' of $W\otimes\mathbb{C}((z))$. If we put $\tilde{W}':=W\cap W'$, then $\tilde{W}'$ is a subbundle of W preserved by ∇ and $\tilde{W}'\otimes\mathbb{C}((z))=W'$. Since (W,∇) is irreducible, we can see that $\tilde{W}'=W$. If j is the minimum among $0<j\le l$ satisfying $\sigma^j\nu=\nu$, then $j|l$, $\nu\in\mathbb{C}[[w^{\frac{1}{j}}]]\frac{dz}{z^m}$ and we can replace w by $w^{\frac{1}{j}}$. So we may assume that $\{\sigma^k(\nu)|0\le k\le l-1\}$ are distinct and we have $l=\operatorname{rank}W$ in this case. We can see that $W\otimes\mathbb{C}((w))$ has a ν-eigensubspace, but we can also see that $W\otimes\mathbb{C}((w))$ has a quotient ν-eigenspace. Replacing ν by adding an element of $\mathbb{Z}\frac{dw}{w}$, we may assume that there is a surjection $\pi\colon W\otimes\mathbb{C}[[w]]\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}[[w]]$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}W\otimes\mathbb{C}[[w]] & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{C}[[w]]\\ {\scriptstyle\nabla\otimes\mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\nabla_\nu}\\ W\otimes\mathbb{C}[[w]]\cdot\frac{dz}{z^m} & \xrightarrow{\pi\otimes\mathrm{id}} & \mathbb{C}[[w]]\frac{dz}{z^m}\end{array}$$

is commutative, where ∇_ν is given by $\nabla_\nu(f)=df+\nu f$ for $f\in\mathbb{C}[[w]]$. Note that $W\xrightarrow{\pi|_W}\mathbb{C}[[w]]$ is injective, because (W,∇) is formally irreducible. So $\operatorname{coker}(\pi|_W)$ is of finite length.

Remark 1.1. Conversely, if $L \cong \mathbb{C}[[w]]$ has a quotient $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$-module Q of finite length which induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{q} & Q \\ {\scriptstyle \nabla_\nu}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nabla_Q} \\ L \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m} & \xrightarrow{q\otimes \mathrm{id}} & Q \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m}, \end{array}$$

for some morphism ∇_Q, then $\ker q$ has a connection compatible with ∇_ν. So giving a connection on W compatible with (π, L) is equivalent to giving a connection $\nabla_\nu : L \longrightarrow L \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m}$ compatible with a quotient $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$-module Q of L of finite length.

Remark 1.2. Let (W, ∇) be a formal connection of rank r over $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ with a quotient $\pi\colon W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[w]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[w]]$ with $w^r = z$ satisfying the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[w]] & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{C}[[w]] \\ {\scriptstyle \nabla\otimes\mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nabla_\nu} \\ W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[w]] \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m} & \xrightarrow{\pi\otimes\mathrm{id}} & \mathbb{C}[[w]] \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m} \end{array}$$

and assume that ν is so generic that the $\dfrac{wdw}{w^{mr-r+1}}$-coefficient of ν is non-zero. If

$$\bar{\pi}\colon W \otimes \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})$$

is the induced surjection, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W \otimes \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) \\ {\scriptstyle \nabla\otimes\mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\nu}} \\ W \otimes \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}\otimes\mathrm{id}} & \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m} \end{array}$$

is commutative, where $\bar{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\dfrac{dw}{w^{mr-r+1}}$ is the canonical image of ν. Since $\bar{\pi}(W \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m))$ is preserved by multiplying $\bar{\nu}$ and the $\dfrac{wdw}{w^{mr-r+1}}$-coefficient of ν is non-zero, we can see that $\bar{\pi}(W \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m)) = \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})$. So $\pi|_W\colon W \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[[w]]$ is in fact an isomorphism.

In this paper we do not treat connections with $\mathrm{coker}(\pi|_W) = Q$ non-trivial. We mainly treat connections with $\mathrm{coker}(\pi|_W) = 0$ and we will say such type of connection a generic ramified type. A precise definition of connections of generic ramified type is given in Definition 2.1. As in the former studies [3], [8], [9], treatment of the formal data seems to make it hard to construct a global moduli space of ramified connections. In this paper, we will rather give up fixing a formal connection itself in the construction of the global moduli space of ramified connections. In fact we will paraphrase the formal data to another data obtained by restriction of the connection to a finite subscheme.

First we consider a formal connection (W, ∇) of rank r over $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ such that there is a surjection $\pi\colon W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[w]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[w]]$ with $w^r = z$, $p := \pi|_W\colon W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[w]]$ is isomorphic and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[w]] & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{C}[[w]] \\ {\scriptstyle \nabla\otimes\mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nabla_\nu} \\ W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[w]] \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m} & \xrightarrow{\pi\otimes\mathrm{id}} & \mathbb{C}[[w]] \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m} \end{array}$$

is commutative for some $\nu \in \mathbb{C}[[w]]\dfrac{dz}{z^m}$. We want to recover (W, ∇) from the restriction of (W, ∇) to $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m)$. So we consider $\bar{\pi} := \pi \otimes \mathrm{id}\colon W \otimes \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})$. Then

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) \\ {\scriptstyle \nabla\otimes\mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\nu}} \\ W\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}\otimes\mathrm{id}} & \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m} \end{array}$$

is commutative, where $\bar{\nu}\in\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\dfrac{dz}{z^m}$ is the canonical image of ν. However, we cannot recover $(W,\nabla)\otimes\mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m)$ from $(\bar{\pi},\bar{\nu})$, because certain data are lost under taking modulo w^{mr-r+1}. If we put $W_k:=(\pi|_W)^{-1}(w^k\mathbb{C}[[w]])$ for $k=0,1\ldots,r-1$, then a surjection $\pi_k\colon W_k\otimes\mathbb{C}[[w]]\longrightarrow w^k\mathbb{C}[[w]]$ is induced and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_k\otimes\mathbb{C}[[w]] & \xrightarrow{\pi_k} & w^k\mathbb{C}[[w]] \\ {\scriptstyle \nabla\otimes\mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nabla_{\nu+k\frac{dw}{w}}} \\ W_k\otimes\mathbb{C}[[w]]\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m} & \xrightarrow{\pi_k\otimes\mathrm{id}} & w^k\mathbb{C}[[w]]\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m} \end{array}$$

is induced. If we put $V_k:=W_k/z^mW$, then $\nabla\otimes\mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m)$ induces a homomorphism $\nabla_k\colon V_k\longrightarrow V_k\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m}$ and a quotient $\bar{\pi}_k\colon V_k\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\longrightarrow(w^k)/(w^{mr-r+1+k})$ with commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_k\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}_k} & (w^k)/(w^{k+mr-r+1}) \\ {\scriptstyle \nabla_k\otimes\mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\nu}+k\frac{dw}{w}} \\ V_k\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}\otimes\mathrm{id}} & (w^k)/(w^{k+mr-r+1})\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m} \end{array}$$

In the construction of the global moduli space of ramified connections in section 2, we regard that $\left(\bar{\pi}_k,\bar{\nu}+k\dfrac{dw}{w}\right)_{k=0}^{r-1}$ is the contribution of fixing formal data. Theorem 3.1 implies that this method is appropriate from the viewpoint of getting the expected dimension of the global moduli space.

We prepare several notations

Definition 1.2. (1) For $\eta\in\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^N)$, we define $\mathrm{ord}(\eta):=l$ if $\eta\in(w^l)$ and $\eta\notin(w^{l-1})$.

(2) For $\nu=\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}ra_k(z)\dfrac{w^kdw}{w^{mr-r+1}}=\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}a_k(z)\dfrac{dz}{z^m}w^k$ with $a_k(z)\in\mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m)$, we put $\mathrm{Tr}(\nu):=ra_0(z)\dfrac{dz}{z^m}\in\mathbb{C}[[z]]\dfrac{dz}{z^m}$.

By the next proposition, we can say that $\left(\bar{\pi},\bar{\nu}+k\dfrac{dw}{w}\right)_{k=0}^{r-1}$ indeed recover the formal data in the case of sufficiently generic exponent ν.

Proposition 1.2. Let (W,∇) be a formal connection of rank r over $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ with a filtration

$$W\otimes\mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m)=V_0\supset V_1\supset\cdots\supset V_{r-1}\supset zV_0$$

satisfying $(\nabla\otimes\mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m))(V_k)\subset V_k\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m}$, $V_k/V_{k+1}\cong\mathbb{C}[z]/(z)$ and let

$$\varpi_k\colon V_k\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\longrightarrow L_k$$

be a quotient free $\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})$ module of rank one for $k=0,\dots,r-1$ with $w^r=z$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_k\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) & \xrightarrow{\varpi_k} & L_k \\ {\scriptstyle \nabla\otimes\mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_k} \\ V_k\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m} & \xrightarrow{\varpi_k\otimes\mathrm{id}} & L_k\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} V_k\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) & \xrightarrow{\varpi_k} & L_k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_k} \\ V_{k-1}\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) & \xrightarrow{\varpi_{k-1}} & L_{k-1} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (z)/(z^{m+1})\otimes V_0\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\varpi_0} & (z)/(z^{m+1})\otimes L_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_r} \\ V_{r-1}\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) & \xrightarrow{\varpi_{r-1}} & L_{r-1} \end{array}$$

are commutative for $\mathbb{C}[w]$-homomorphisms $\phi_k\colon L_k\longrightarrow L_{k-1}$, $\phi_r\colon (z)/(z^{m+1})\otimes L_0\longrightarrow L_{r-1}$ satisfying $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_k)=wL_{k-1}$ for $1\le k\le r$ and for $\mu_k\in\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\dfrac{dw}{w^{mr-r+1}}$ with $\mu_k=\mu_{k-1}+\dfrac{dw}{w}$. Assume that the $\dfrac{wdw}{w^{mr-r+1}}$-coefficient of μ_0 is non-zero. Then there is a surjective $\mathbb{C}[[w]]$-homomorphism $\pi\colon W\otimes\mathbb{C}[[w]]\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}[[w]]$ which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W\otimes\mathbb{C}[[w]] & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{C}[[w]] \\ {\scriptstyle \nabla\otimes 1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nabla_\nu} \\ W\otimes\mathbb{C}[[w]]\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m} & \xrightarrow{\pi\otimes 1} & \mathbb{C}[[w]]\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m} \end{array}$$

commutative with $\nu\in\mathbb{C}[[w]]\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m}$ whose image in $\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m}$ coincides with μ_0, $V_k=(\pi|_W)^{-1}((w^k))/z^mW$ and ϖ_k is isomorphic to $\bar\pi_k$ induced by π for each k.

Proof. Since the $\dfrac{wdw}{w^{mr-r+1}}$-coefficient of μ_0 is not zero, the restriction $\varpi_k|_{V_k}\colon V_k\longrightarrow L_k$ is surjective for any k. We identify the free $\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})$-module L_0 with $\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})$ and we write $L_0=\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})$. We consider the homomorphism

$$\Pi=(\varpi_0,\sigma_r^{-1}\varpi_0\sigma_r,\dots,\sigma_r^{1-r}\varpi_0\sigma_r^{r-1})\colon V_0\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\longrightarrow\bigoplus_{k=0}^{r-1}\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}),$$

where σ_r is a generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}((w))/\mathbb{C}((z)))$, the action $\sigma_r^k\colon\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})$ is determined by $\sigma_r^k(w)=\zeta_r^kw$ and $\sigma_r^k\colon V_0\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})\xrightarrow{\sim}V_0\otimes\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})$ is given by $\sigma_r^k(v\otimes a)=v\otimes\sigma_r^k(a)$. Here ζ_r is a primitive r-th root of unity. Since $\varpi_0|_{V_0}$ is surjective, we can take an element $e'_k\in V_0$ such that $\varpi_0(e'_k)=w^k$ for $0\le k\le r-1$. Then $e'_0,\dots,e'_{r-1}$ becomes a basis of V_0 over $\mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m)$. If we take $\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}e'_k\otimes b_k(w)\in\ker\Pi$ with $b_k(w)\in\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})$, then we have $\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}b_k(w)\zeta_r^{-lk}w^k=0$ for $l=0,\dots,r-1$. If we write $b_k(w)=\sum_{l=0}^{mr-r+1}\beta_l^{(k)}w^l$ with $\beta_l^{(k)}\in\mathbb{C}$, then we have $\beta_0^{(0)}=0$, $\beta_1^{(0)}+\zeta_r^{-l}\beta_0^{(1)}=0$ for any l and $\beta_k^{(0)}+\zeta_r^{-l}\beta_{k-1}^{(1)}+\cdots+\zeta_r^{-l(k-1)}\beta_1^{(k-1)}+\zeta_r^{-lk}\beta_0^{(k)}=0$ for $k=0,\dots,r-1$ and any l, which implies that $\beta_l^{(k)}=0$ for $k+l\le r-1$. Similarly, we have $\beta_s^{(0)}+\zeta_r^{-l}\beta_{s-1}^{(1)}+\cdots+\zeta_r^{-l(r-1)}\beta_{s-r+1}^{(r-1)}=0$ for $l=0,\dots,r-1$ and $r\le s\le mr-r$. So we have $\beta_l^{(k)}=0$ for $k+l\le mr-r$, $b_k(w)\in(w^{mr-r+1-k})$ for $k=0,\dots,r-1$ and

$$\ker\Pi=\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}w^{mr-r+1-k}\varpi_0^{-1}((w^k))$$

whose length is $\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}k=\dfrac{r(r-1)}{2}$.

If there is a subbundle $0 \neq U \subsetneq W \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m)$ satisfying $(\nabla \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m))(U) \subset U \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m}$, then we have $\nu\varpi_0(U) \subset \varpi_0(U) \cdot \dfrac{dw}{w^{mr-r+1}}$. If $\operatorname{rank} U = s$, then $\mathbb{C}[z]/(z)^{\oplus s} \cong U \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]/(z) \subset W \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]/(z) \cong \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^r)$. We can take $v_1, \ldots, v_s \in U$ such that $\overline{v_1}, \ldots, \overline{v_s}$ form a basis of $U \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]/(z)$ and $\operatorname{ord}(\varpi_0(v_1)) < \operatorname{ord}(\varpi_0(v_2)) < \cdots < \operatorname{ord}(\varpi_0(v_s))$. Since $\dfrac{wdw}{w^{mr-r+1}}$-coefficient of μ_0 is non-zero and $\mu_0\varpi_0(U) \subset \varpi_0(U) \cdot \dfrac{dw}{w^{mr-r+1}}$, we can give an element $u \in U$ such that $\operatorname{ord}(\varpi_0(u)) = \operatorname{ord}(\varpi_0(v_1)) + 1$. So we have $\operatorname{ord}(\varpi_0(v_2)) = \operatorname{ord}(\varpi_0(v_1)) + 1$ and $\operatorname{ord}(\varpi_0(v_j)) = \operatorname{ord}(\varpi_0(v_{j-1})) + 1$ for any i. Then we have $\operatorname{ord}(\varpi_0(v_s)) = r - 1$ and we can take an element $u' \in U$ such that $\operatorname{ord}(\varpi_0(u')) = r$. Since U is a free $\mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m)$-module, we can take an element $\tilde{u'} \in U$ such that $z\tilde{u'} = u'$ and so $\operatorname{ord}(\varpi_0(\tilde{u'})) = 0$. Thus we have $U = W \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m)$ and (W, ∇) is formally irreducible in particular.

By Hukuhara-Turrittin theorem, $W \otimes \mathbb{C}((w))$ decomposes to r eigen-spaces. Take an eigenvector $v \in W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[w]]$ such that $\nabla(v) = \nu v$ with $\nu \in \mathbb{C}[[w]]\dfrac{dz}{z^m}$ and $(W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[w]])/\mathbb{C}[[w]]v$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[[w]]$-module. Since $w^{mr-2r+1}v \notin w^{mr-2r+2}W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[w]]$, we have

$$w^{mr-2r+1}\bar{v} \notin \ker \Pi = \bigcap_{k=0}^{r-1} \ker(\sigma_r^{-k} \circ \varpi_0 \circ \sigma_r^k).$$

So there is some k with $w^{mr-2r+1}\sigma_r^{-k} \circ \varpi_0 \circ \sigma_r^k(\bar{v}) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})$. Since there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) \cdot \bar{v} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_r^{-k} \circ \varpi_0 \circ \sigma_r^k} & \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) \\
{\scriptstyle \nu}\big\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \sigma_r^{-k}(\mu_0)}\big\downarrow \\
\mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) \cdot \bar{v} \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m} & \xrightarrow{(\sigma_r^{-k} \circ \varpi_0 \circ \sigma_r^k) \otimes \mathrm{id}} & \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m},
\end{array}$$

we have $\nu \equiv \sigma_r^{-k}(\mu_0) \pmod{w^{mr-2r+2}}$. Replacing ν by its some Galois transform, we may assume that $\nu \equiv \mu_0 \pmod{w^{mr-2r+2}}$. In particular, the $\dfrac{wdw}{w^{mr-r+1}}$-coefficient of ν is not zero. There is a quotient eigen space $\pi\colon W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[w]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[w]]$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[w]] & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{C}[[w]] \\
{\scriptstyle \nabla}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \nabla_{\nu'}} \\
W \otimes \mathbb{C}[[w]] \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m} & \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes \mathrm{id}} & \mathbb{C}[[w]] \otimes \dfrac{dz}{z^m}
\end{array}$$

is commutative, where $\nabla_{\nu'}$ is the connection on $\mathbb{C}[[w]]$ defined by $\nabla_{\nu'}(f) = df + \nu' f$ and $\nu' - \nu \in \mathbb{Z}\dfrac{dw}{w^{mr-r+1}}$. From Remark 1.2, $\pi|_W : W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[w]]$ is isomorphic, because $\nu' \equiv \mu_0 \pmod{w^{mr-2r+2}}$. We take a basis $e_0, \ldots, e_{r-1}$ of W such that $\pi(e_k) = w^k$. If we put $\lambda := \dfrac{\operatorname{Tr}(\nu')}{r}$, then we have $\lambda \equiv \dfrac{\operatorname{Tr}(\mu_0)}{r} \pmod{z^{m-1}}$ and

$$((\nabla - \lambda \mathrm{id}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]/(z^m))\,(V_k \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]/(z)) = V_{k+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]/(z) \otimes \frac{dz}{z^m}.$$

So we can see that $\overline{e_k}, \overline{e_{k+1}}, \ldots, \overline{e_{r-1}}$ are generators of $V_k \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]/(z)$. Applying an automorphism of $L_0 = \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1})$, we may assume that $\varpi_0(e_0) = 1$. Furthermore, we can take isomorphisms

$L_k \xrightarrow{\sim} (w^k)/(w^{k+mr-r+1})$ satisfying the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_k & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (w^k)/(w^{k+mr-r+1}) \\ {\scriptstyle\phi_k}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (w^{k-1})/(w^{k+mr-r}) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} (z)/(z^{m+1}) \otimes L_0 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (z)/(z^{m+1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[w]/(w^{mr-r+1}) \\ {\scriptstyle\phi_r}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{r-1} & \longrightarrow & (w^{r-1})/(w^{mr}), \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrows are canonical homomorphisms. So we write $L_k = (w^k)/(w^{k+mr-r+1})$.

If we write $\nabla(e_0) = \lambda e_0 + a_1 \dfrac{dz}{z^m} e_1 + \cdots + a_{r-1} \dfrac{dz}{z^m} e_{r-1}$ with each $a_k \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda + a_1 \frac{dz}{z^m} w + a_2 \frac{dz}{z^m} w^2 + \cdots + a_{r-1} \frac{dz}{z^m} w^{r-1} = \pi(\nabla(e_0)) = \nu' \equiv \mu_0 = \varpi_0(\nabla(e_0)) \\ &= \lambda + a_1 \frac{dz}{z^m} \varpi_0(e_1) + a_2 \frac{dz}{z^m} \varpi_0(e_2) + \cdots + a_{r-1} \frac{dz}{z^m} \varpi_0(e_{r-1}) \pmod{w^{mr-2r+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

So we have $\varpi_0(e_1) \equiv w \pmod{w^2}$, because $a_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{z}$. Note that

$$\nabla(e_1) = \left(\lambda + \frac{dw}{w}\right) e_1 + a_1 \frac{dz}{z^m} e_2 + \cdots + a_{r-2} \frac{dz}{z^m} e_{r-1} + z a_{r-1} \frac{dz}{z^m} e_0$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda \varpi_0(e_1) + a_1 \frac{dz}{z^m} \varpi_0(e_2) + \cdots + a_{r-2} \frac{dz}{z^m} \varpi_0(e_{r-1}) + z a_{r-1} \frac{dz}{z^m} \varpi_0(e_0) \\ &= \varpi_0 \left(\nabla(e_1) - \frac{dw}{w} e_1 \right) = \mu_0 \varpi_0(e_1) \equiv \nu' \varpi_0(e_1) \\ &= \lambda \varpi_0(e_1) + a_1 \frac{dz}{z^m} w \varpi_0(e_1) + \cdots + a_{r-1} \frac{dz}{z^m} w^{r-1} \varpi_0(e_1) \pmod{w^{mr-2r+3}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

So we have $\varpi_0(e_2) \equiv w \circ \varpi_0(e_1) \equiv w^2 \pmod{w^3}$. Inductively we can see $\varpi_0(e_{j+1}) \equiv w^{j+1} \pmod{w^{j+2}}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, r-2$ by the equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda \varpi_0(e_j) + a_1 \frac{dz}{z^m} \varpi_0(e_{j+1}) + \cdots + z a_{r-1} \frac{dz}{z^m} \varpi_0(e_{j-1}) \\ &= \varpi_0(\nabla(e_j) - j \frac{dw}{w} e_j) = \mu_0 \varpi_0(e_j) \equiv \nu' \varpi_0(e_j) \\ &= \lambda \varpi_0(e_j) + a_1 \frac{dz}{z^m} w \varpi_0(e_j) + \cdots + a_{r-1} \frac{dz}{z^m} w^{r-1} \varpi_0(e_j) \pmod{w^{mr-2r+2+j}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Looking at the equation (1) again, we can see $\varpi_0(e_1) \equiv w \pmod{w^3}$. Inductively, we can see $\varpi_0(e_j) \equiv w^j \pmod{w^{j+2}}$ by the equation (3). Repeating this argument, we have $\varpi_0(e_j) \equiv w^j \pmod{w^{mr-2r+1+j}}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, r-1$ by the same equalities. So we have $\varpi_k(e_k) - w^k \in (w^{mr-2r+1+k})/(w^{k+mr-r+1})$ for $k = 1, \ldots, r-1$. We will prove the following claim.

Claim. $\varpi_k(e_k) \equiv w^k \pmod{w^{mr-r-1+k}}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, r-1$.

If $r = 2$, then the claim follows from $\varpi_k(e_k) - w^k \in (w^{mr-2r+1+k})/(w^{k+mr-r+1})$. So we assume $r \geq 3$. Note that we have

$$\nabla(e_k) = (\lambda + k \frac{dw}{w}) e_k + \cdots + a_{r-1-k}(z) \frac{dz}{z^m} e_{r-1} + a_{r-k}(z) \frac{dz}{z^m} z e_0 + \cdots + a_{r-1}(z) \frac{dz}{z^m} z e_{k-1}.$$

Applying ϖ_k, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_0 + k \frac{dw}{w}) \varpi_k(e_k) = \varpi_k(\nabla(e_k)) = (\lambda + k \frac{dw}{w}) \varpi_k(e_k) + \cdots + a_{r-1-k}(z) \frac{dz}{z^m} \varpi_k(e_{r-1}) \\ + a_{r-k}(z) \frac{dz}{z^m} \varpi_k(z e_0) + \cdots + a_{r-1}(z) \frac{dz}{z^m} \varpi_k(z e_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

So we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\lambda + \frac{kz^{m-1}}{r}\frac{dz}{z^m})\varpi_k(e_k)\varpi_k(e_{k+1}) + a_1(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}\varpi_k(e_{k+1})^2 \\
&\quad + a_2(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}\varpi_k(e_{k+2})\varpi_k(e_{k+1}) + \cdots + a_{r-1}(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}\varpi_k(ze_{k-1})\varpi_k(e_{k+1}) \\
&= (\mu_0 + k\frac{dw}{w})\varpi_k(e_k)\cdot\varpi_k(e_{k+1}) = (\mu_0 + (k+1)\frac{dw}{w})\cdot\varpi_k(e_{k+1})\cdot\varpi_k(e_k) \qquad (4)\\
&= (\lambda + \frac{kz^{m-1}}{r}\frac{dz}{z^m})\varpi_k(e_{k+1})\varpi_k(e_k) + a_1(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}\varpi_k(e_{k+2})\varpi_k(e_k) \\
&\quad + a_2(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}\varpi_k(e_{k+3})\varpi_k(e_k) + \cdots + a_{r-1}(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}\varpi_k(ze_k)\varpi_k(e_k)
\end{aligned}$$

in $(w^{2k})/(w^{2k+mr-r+1})\otimes\dfrac{dz}{z^m}$. Since

$$\varpi_k(e_{k+l+1})\varpi_k(e_k) \equiv w^{2k+l+1} \equiv \varpi_k(e_{k+l})\varpi_k(e_{k+1}) \pmod{w^{mr-2r+1+2k+l+1}}$$

for $l \geq 2$, we have $\varpi_k(e_{k+1})^2 - \varpi_k(e_k)\varpi_k(e_{k+2}) \in (w^{mr-2r+1+2k+3})/(w^{mr-r+1+2k})$. Substituting $k+1$ in k, we have $\varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+3})\varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+1}) - \varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+2})^2 \in (w^{mr-2r+1+2k+5)})/(w^{mr-r+1+2k+2)})$. By the equalities $\varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+1})\varpi_k(e_{k+2}) = \varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+2})\varpi_k(e_{k+1})$ in $(w^{2k+1})/(w^{mr-r+1+2k+1})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varpi_k(e_k)\varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+1})\varpi_k(e_{k+3}) - \varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+1})\varpi_k(e_{k+1})\varpi_k(e_{k+2}) \\
&= \varpi_k(e_k)(\varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+1})\varpi_k(e_{k+3}) - \varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+2})^2) + \varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+2})(\varpi_k(e_k)\varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+2}) - \varpi_k(e_{k+1})^2),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\varpi_k(e_k)\varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+1})\varpi_k(e_{k+3}) - \varpi_k(e_{k+1})\varpi_{k+1}(e_{k+1})\varpi_k(e_{k+2}) \in (w^{mr-2r+1+3k+5})/(w^{mr-r+1+3k+1})$$

and

$$\varpi_k(e_k)\varpi_k(e_{k+3}) - \varpi_k(e_{k+1})\varpi_k(e_{k+2}) \in (w^{mr-2r+1+2k+4})/(w^{mr-r+1+2k}).$$

Considering the order of the terms in (4) again, we obtain

$$\varpi_k(e_{k+1})^2 - \varpi_k(e_k)\varpi_k(e_{k+2}) \in (w^{mr-2r+1+2k+4})/(w^{mr-r+1+2k}).$$

Note that this argument is valid only for $r \geq 3$. Repeating this argument, we have

$$\varpi_k(e_{k+1})^2 - \varpi_k(e_k)\varpi_k(e_{k+2}) \in (w^{mr-2r+1+2k+l})/(w^{mr-r+1+2k})$$

for $l = 2, \ldots, r$. If we write $\varpi_k(e_k) = w^k + c_k w^{mr-2r+1+k}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varpi_k(e_{k+1})^2 - \varpi_k(e_k)\varpi_k(e_{k+2}) \\
&= (w^{k+1} + c_{k+1}w^{mr-2r+1+k+1})^2 - (w^k + c_k w^{mr-2r+1+k})(w^{k+2} + c_{k+2}w^{mr-2r+1+k+2}) \\
&\equiv (2c_{k+1} - c_k - c_{k+2})w^{mr-2r+1+2k+2} \pmod{w^{mr-2r+2+2k+2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have $c_{k+2} \equiv 2c_{k+1} - c_k \pmod{w}$. Since $c_0 = 0$, we have $c_k \equiv kc_1 \pmod{w}$ for $k = 0, \ldots, r-1$. By the same argument we have

$$\varpi_{r-2}(e_{r-2})\varpi_{r-2}(ze_0) \equiv (\varpi_{r-2}(e_{r-1}))^2 \pmod{w^{mr-2r+2+2r-2}}.$$

On the other hand, we have $\varpi_{r-2}(ze_0) = z \otimes \varpi_0(e_0) = z = w^r$. So we have

$$(w^{r-2} + c_{r-2}w^{mr-2r+1+r-2})w^r \equiv (w^{r-1} + c_{r-1}w^{mr-2r+1+r-1})^2 \pmod{w^{mr-2r+2+2r-2}}$$

which implies $(r-2)c_1 \equiv c_{r-2} \equiv 2c_{r-1} \equiv 2(r-1)c_1 \pmod{w}$. So we have $c_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{w}$, which means $\varpi_k(e_k) \equiv w^k \pmod{w^{mr-2r+2+k}}$ for $k = 0, \ldots, r-1$. Repeating this argument, we get $\varpi_0(e_1) \equiv w \pmod{w^{mr-r}}$. We also have $\varpi_k(e_k) = w^k \pmod{w^{mr-r-1+k}}$. Thus we have proved the claim.

We have

$$\mu_0 = \lambda\frac{dz}{z^m}\varpi_0(e_0) + a_1(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}\varpi_0(e_1) + \cdots + a_{r-1}(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}\varpi_0(e_{r-1}) \equiv \nu' \pmod{w^{mr-r}}.$$

So we can write $\mu_0 - \nu' = c\dfrac{dw}{w}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\lambda + k\frac{dw}{w})\varpi_k(e_k) + a_1(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}\varpi_k(e_{k+1}) + a_2(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}w^{k+2} + \cdots + a_{r-1}\frac{dz}{z^m}w^{k+r-1} \\
&= (\mu_0 + k\frac{dw}{w})\varpi_k(e_k) = (\nu' + (c+k)\frac{dw}{w})\varpi_k(e_k) \\
&= (\lambda + (c+k)\frac{dw}{w})\varpi_k(e_k) + a_1(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}w\varpi_k(e_k) + a_2(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}w^{k+2} + \cdots + a_{r-1}(z)\frac{dz}{z^m}w^{k+r-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we write $\varpi_k(e_k) = w^k + b_k w^{mr-r-1+k}$, then we have $a_1(z)b_{k+1} \equiv \dfrac{c}{r} + a_1(z)b_k \pmod{w}$. Since $b_0 = 0$, we have $a_1(z)b_k \equiv \dfrac{ck}{r} \pmod{w}$. On the other hand, we have $a_1(z)\dfrac{dz}{z^m}\varpi_{r-1}(ze_0) = c\dfrac{dw}{w}\varpi_{r-1}(e_{r-1}) + a_1(z)\dfrac{dz}{z^m}w\varpi_{r-1}(e_{r-1})$. Since $\varpi_{r-1}(ze_0) = z = w^r$, we have $\frac{c}{r} + a_1(z)b_{r-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{w}$. So we have $\dfrac{c}{r} + \dfrac{c(r-1)}{r} \equiv 0 \pmod{z}$ and we have $\nu' \equiv \mu_0 \pmod{w^{mr-r+1}}$. Furthermore we have $\varpi_k(e_k) \equiv w^k \pmod{w^{mr-r+k}}$, because $a_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{w}$. Applying an automorphism on $L_k = (w^k)/(w^{k+mr-r+1})$, we may replace $\varpi_k(e_k)$ by $w^k \pmod{w^{k+mr-r+1}}$. Then ϖ_k coincides with $\bar{\pi}_k$ induced by π. □

2. Definition and construction of the moduli space of parabolic connections of generic ramified type

Let C be a smooth projective irreducible curve over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}$ of genus g and $D = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i t_i$ be an effective divisor on C with $m_i \geq 1$ for any i. Take integers $r, a, (r_j^{(i)})_{0\leq j\leq s_i-1}^{1\leq i\leq n}$ with $r > 0$, $r_j^{(i)} > 0$ and $r = \sum_{j=0}^{s_i-1} r_j^{(i)}$ for any i. Furthermore, we assume that $r_j^{(i)} = 1$ for all j if $m_i = 1$. We take a generator z_i of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{C,t_i}$ and take a variable $w_j^{(i)}$ satisfying $(w_j^{(i)})^{r_j^{(i)}} = z_i$. Then we have $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,t_i} \cong \mathbb{C}[[z_i]]$, $\mathbb{C}[[w_j^{(i)}]]$ becomes a free $\mathbb{C}[[z_i]]$-module of rank $r_j^{(i)}$ and $dz_i = r_j^{(i)}(w_j^{(i)})^{r_j^{(i)}-1}dw_j^{(i)}$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{m_i t_i}$ the structure sheaf of the effective divisor $m_i t_i$ which is considered to be a closed subscheme of C. So we have $\mathcal{O}_{m_i t_i} \cong \mathbb{C}[z_i]/(z_i^{m_i})$. We set

$$N((r_j^{(i)}), a) := \left\{ \boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{j,k}^{(i)})_{0\leq j\leq s_i-1, 0\leq k\leq r_j^{(i)}-1}^{1\leq i\leq n} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \nu_{j,k}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}[w_j^{(i)}]/((w_j^{(i)})^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)}+1}) \cdot \dfrac{dz_i}{z_i^{m_i}}, \\ \nu_{j,k}^{(i)} - \nu_{j,k-1}^{(i)} = \dfrac{dw_j^{(i)}}{w_j^{(i)}} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq r_j^{(i)} - 1 \text{ and} \\ a + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{s_i-1} \sum_{k=0}^{r_j^{(i)}-1} \dfrac{\mathsf{res}_{w_j^{(i)}}(\nu_{j,k}^{(i)})}{r_j^{(i)}} = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

and take $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{j,k}^{(i)})_{0\leq j\leq s_i-1, 0\leq k\leq r_j^{(i)}-1}^{1\leq i\leq n} \in N((r_j^{(i)}), a)$.

Definition 2.1. A tuple $(E, \nabla, \{l_j^{(i)}, V_{j,k}^{(i)}, \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}, L_{j,k}^{(i)}, \phi_{j,k}^{(i)}\})$ is said to be a parabolic connection of generic ramified type with the exponent $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ if

(1) E is an algebraic vector bundle on C of rank r and degree a,
(2) $\nabla\colon E \longrightarrow E \otimes \Omega_C^1(D)$ is an algebraic connection,
(3) $E|_{m_i t_i} = l_0^{(i)} \supset l_1^{(i)} \supset \cdots \supset l_{s_i-1}^{(i)} \supset l_{s_i}^{(i)} = 0$ is a filtration by $\mathcal{O}_{m_i t_i}$-submodules such that $l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{m_i t_i}^{\oplus r_j^{(i)}}$ and $\nabla|_{m_i t_i}(l_j^{(i)}) \subset l_j^{(i)} \otimes \Omega_C^1(D)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq j \leq s_i - 1$,
(4) $l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)} = V_{j,0}^{(i)} \supset V_{j,1}^{(i)} \supset \cdots \supset V_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1}^{(i)} \supset z_i V_{j,0}^{(i)}$ is a filtration by $\mathcal{O}_{m_i t_i}$-submodules such that $\operatorname{length}(V_{j,k}^{(i)}/V_{j,k+1}^{(i)}) = 1$ for $0 \leq k \leq r_j^{(i)} - 2$ and $\nabla_j^{(i)}(V_{j,k}^{(i)}) \subset V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \Omega_C^1(D)$ for $0 \leq k \leq r_j^{(i)} - 1$, where $\nabla_j^{(i)}\colon l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)} \longrightarrow l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)} \otimes \Omega_C^1(D)$ is the homomorphism induced by $\nabla|_{m_i t_i}$,

(5) $\pi^{(i)}_k : V^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \mathbb{C}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j + 1}) \longrightarrow L^{(i)}_{j,k}$ is a quotient free $\mathbb{C}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j + 1})$-module of rank one for $0 \leq k \leq r^{(i)}_j - 1$ such that the restrictions $\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}|_{V^{(i)}_{j,k}} : V^{(i)}_{j,k} \longrightarrow L^{(i)}_{j,k}$ are surjective and the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \mathbb{C}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j + 1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}} & L^{(i)}_{j,k} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nabla^{(i)}_j \otimes \mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nu^{(i)}_{j,k}} \\ V^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j + 1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \mathrm{id}} & L^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \end{array}$$

commute for all k,

(6) $\phi^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j} : (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1}) \otimes L^{(i)}_{j,0} \longrightarrow w^{(i)}_j L^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j - 1}$ and $\phi^{(i)}_{j,k} : L^{(i)}_{j,k} \longrightarrow w^{(i)}_j L^{(i)}_{j,k-1}$ for $1 \leq k \leq r^{(i)}_j - 1$ are surjective $\mathbb{C}[w^{(i)}_j]$-homomorphisms such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \mathbb{C}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j + 1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}} & L^{(i)}_{j,k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}} \\ V^{(i)}_{j,k-1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j + 1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,k-1}} & L^{(i)}_{j,k-1} \end{array}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq r^{(i)}_j - 1$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1}) \otimes V^{(i)}_{j,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j + 1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,0} \otimes \mathrm{id}} & (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1}) \otimes L^{(i)}_{j,0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j}} \\ V^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j - 1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j + 1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j - 1}} & L^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j - 1} \end{array}$$

are commutative.

Remark 2.1. If $(E, \nabla, \{l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$ is a parabolic connection of generic ramified type, the composite

$$\phi^{(i)}_{j,1} \circ \cdots \phi^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j - 1} \circ \phi^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j} : (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1}) \otimes L^{(i)}_{j,0} \longrightarrow L^{(i)}_{j,0}$$

coincides with the canonical homomorphism from its definition.

Definition 2.2. Take a tuple of rational numbers $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha^{(i)}_j)^{1 \leq i \leq n}_{1 \leq j \leq s_i}$ satisfying

$$0 < \alpha^{(i)}_1 < \alpha^{(i)}_2 < \cdots < \alpha^{(i)}_{s_i} < 1$$

for any i and $\alpha^{(i)}_j \neq \alpha^{(i')}_{j'}$ for $(i,j) \neq (i',j')$. We call $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ a parabolic weight. A parabolic connection $(E, \nabla, \{l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$ of generic ramified type is said to be $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-stable (resp. $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-semistable) if the inequality

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \dfrac{\deg F + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} \alpha^{(i)}_j \operatorname{length}((F|_{m_i t_i} \cap l^{(i)}_{j-1})/(F|_{m_i t_i} \cap l^{(i)}_j))}{\operatorname{rank} F} \\ < & \\ (\text{resp. } \leq) & \dfrac{\deg E + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} \alpha^{(i)}_j \operatorname{length}(l^{(i)}_{j-1}/l^{(i)}_j)}{\operatorname{rank} E} \end{array}$$

holds for any non-trivial subbundle $0 \neq F \subsetneq E$ satisfying $\nabla(F) \subset F \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$.

Remark 2.2. If $(E, \nabla, \{l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$ is $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-stable, we can see that there are only scalar endomorphisms $u : E \longrightarrow E$ satisfying $\nabla \circ u = u \circ \nabla$ and $\nabla|_{m_i t_i}(l^{(i)}_j) \subset l^{(i)}_j$ for any i, j.

Remark 2.3. If $s_i = 1$ for some i and if the $\dfrac{w_0^{(i)} dw_0^{(i)}}{(w_0^{(i)})^{m_i r_0^{(i)} - r_0^{(i)} + 1}}$-coefficient of $\nu_{0,0}^{(i)}$ is not zero, then (E, ∇) is formally irreducible at t_i by the proof of Proposition 1.2 and so a parabolic connection $(E, \nabla, \{l_j^{(i)}, V_{j,k}^{(i)}, L_{j,k}^{(i)}, \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}, \phi_{j,k}^{(i)}\})$ of generic ramified type with the exponent $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-stable with respect to any weight $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ in this case.

We will give the definition of the moduli functor of parabolic connections of generic ramified type in order to make the meaning of the moduli clear.

Definition 2.3. We define a contravariant functor $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r_j^{(i)}), a) : (\mathrm{Sch}/N((r_j^{(i)}), a))^o \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Sets})$ from the category $(\mathrm{Sch}/N((r_j^{(i)}), a))$ of noetherian schemes over $N((r_j^{(i)}), a)$ to the category (Sets) of sets by setting

$$\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r_j^{(i)}), a)(S) = \left\{(E, \nabla, \{l_j^{(i)}, V_{j,k}^{(i)}, L_{j,k}^{(i)}, \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}, \phi_{j,k}^{(i)}\})\right\} / \sim,$$

for a noetherian scheme S over $N((r_j^{(i)}), a)$, where

(1) E is a vector bundle on $C \times S$ of rank r and $\deg(E|_{C \times s}) = a$ for any point $s \in S$,
(2) $\nabla \colon E \longrightarrow E \otimes \Omega^1_{C \times S/S}(D \times S)$ is a relative connection,
(3) $E|_{m_i t_i \times S} = l_0^{(i)} \supset l_1^{(i)} \supset \cdots \supset l_{s_i - 1}^{(i)} \supset l_{s_i}^{(i)} = 0$ is a filtration by $\mathcal{O}_{m_i t_i \times S}$-submodules such that each $l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{m_i t_i \times S}$-module of rank $r_j^{(i)}$ and $\nabla|_{m_i t_i \times S}(l_j^{(i)}) \subset l_j^{(i)} \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$ for any i, j,
(4) $l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)} = V_{j,0}^{(i)} \supset \cdots \supset V_{j, r_j^{(i)} - 1}^{(i)} \supset z_i V_{j,0}^{(i)}$ is a filtration by $\mathcal{O}_{m_i t_i \times S}$-submodules such that $V_{j, r_j^{(i)} - 1}^{(i)}/z_i V_{j,0}^{(i)}$ and $V_{j,k}^{(i)}/V_{j,k+1}^{(i)}$ for $0 \leq k \leq r_j^{(i)} - 2$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{t_i \times S}$-modules of rank one and $\nabla_j^{(i)}(V_{j,k}^{(i)}) \subset V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$ for any k, where $\nabla_j^{(i)} \colon l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)} \longrightarrow l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)} \otimes \Omega^1_{C \times S/S}(D \times S)$ is the homomorphism induced by $\nabla|_{m_i t_i \times S}$,
(5) $\pi_{j,k}^{(i)} \colon V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S[w_j^{(i)}]/((w_j^{(i)})^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)} + 1}) \longrightarrow L_{j,k}^{(i)}$ is a quotient $\mathcal{O}_S[w_j^{(i)}]/((w_j^{(i)})^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)} + 1})$-module such that $L_{j,k}^{(i)}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S[w_j^{(i)}]/((w_j^{(i)})^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)} + 1})$-module of rank one for $k = 0, \ldots, r_j^{(i)} - 1$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S[w_j^{(i)}]/((w_j^{(i)})^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)} + 1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j,k}^{(i)}} & L_{j,k}^{(i)} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nabla_j^{(i)} \otimes \mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nu_{j,k}^{(i)}} \\ V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \Omega^1_{C \times S/S}(D \times S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S[w_j^{(i)}]/((w_j^{(i)})^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)} + 1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j,k}^{(i)}} & L_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \Omega^1_{C \times S/S}(D \times S) \end{array}$$

is commutative and $p_{j,k}^{(i)} := \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}|_{V_{j,k}^{(i)}} \colon V_{j,k}^{(i)} \longrightarrow L_{j,k}^{(i)}$ is surjective for any k,
(6) $\phi_{j, r_j^{(i)}}^{(i)} \colon (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i + 1}) \otimes L_{j,0}^{(i)} \longrightarrow L_{j, r_j^{(i)} - 1}^{(i)}$ and $\phi_{j,k}^{(i)} \colon L_{j,k}^{(i)} \longrightarrow L_{j,k-1}^{(i)}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, r_j^{(i)} - 1$ are $\mathcal{O}_S[w_j^{(i)}]$-homomorphisms such that $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{j,k+1}^{(i)}) = w_j^{(i)} L_{j,k}^{(i)}$ for $k = 0, \ldots, r_j^{(i)} - 1$ and the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S[w_j^{(i)}]/((w_j^{(i)})^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)} + 1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j,k}^{(i)}} & L_{j,k}^{(i)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{j,k}^{(i)}} \\ V_{j,k-1}^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S[w_j^{(i)}]/((w_j^{(i)})^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)} + 1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j,k-1}^{(i)}} & L_{j,k-1}^{(i)} \end{array}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, r_j^{(i)} - 1$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1}) \otimes V_{j,0}^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S[w_j^{(i)}]/((w_j^{(i)})^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)}+1}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\pi_{j,0}^{(i)}} & (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1}) \otimes L_{j,0}^{(i)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_{j,r_j^{(i)}}^{(i)} \\ V_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1}^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S[w_j^{(i)}]/((w_j^{(i)})^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)}+1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1}^{(i)}} & L_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1}^{(i)} \end{array}$$

are commutative,

(7) for any geometric point $\operatorname{Spec} K \longrightarrow S$, the fiber $(E, \nabla, \{l_j^{(i)}, V_{j,k}^{(i)}, L_{j,k}^{(i)}, \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}, \phi_{j,k}^{(i)}\}) \otimes K$ is $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-stable.

Here $(E, \nabla, \{l_j^{(i)}, V_{j,k}^{(i)}, L_{j,k}^{(i)}, \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}, \phi_{j,k}^{(i)}\}) \sim (E', \nabla', \{l_j'^{(i)}, V_{j,k}'^{(i)}, L_{j,k}'^{(i)}, \pi_{j,k}'^{(i)}, \phi_{j,k}'^{(i)}\})$ if there are a line bundle P on S and isomorphisms $\theta\colon E \xrightarrow{\sim} E' \otimes P$ and $\vartheta_{j,k}^{(i)}\colon L_{j,k}^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{j,k}'^{(i)} \otimes P$ for any i, j, k such that $(\theta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \nabla = \nabla' \circ \theta$, $\theta|_{m_i t_i \times S}(l_j^{(i)}) = l_j'^{(i)} \otimes P$ for any i, j and for the induced isomorphism $\theta_j^{(i)}\colon l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (l_j'^{(i)}/l_{j+1}'^{(i)}) \otimes P$, $\theta_j^{(i)}(V_{j,k}^{(i)}) = V_{j,k}'^{(i)} \otimes P$, $(\pi_{j,k}'^{(i)} \otimes 1)|_{V_{j,k}'^{(i)} \otimes P} \circ \theta_j^{(i)}|_{V_{j,k}^{(i)}} = \vartheta_{j,k}^{(i)} \circ \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}|_{V_{j,k}^{(i)}}$, $\vartheta_{j,k-1}^{(i)} \circ \phi_{j,k}^{(i)} = (\phi_{j,k}'^{(i)} \otimes 1) \circ \vartheta_{j,k}^{(i)}$ for $1 \le k \le r_j^{(i)} - 1$ and $\vartheta_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1}^{(i)} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi_{j,0}^{(i)}) = \phi_{j,r_j^{(i)}}'^{(i)} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_{j,0}^{(i)})$.

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a relative coarse moduli scheme $M_{C,D}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}((r_j^{(i)}), a)$ of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-stable parabolic connections of generic ramified type over $N((r_j^{(i)}), a)$.*

Proof. We consider the moduli functor $\mathcal{X}\colon (\mathrm{Sch}/\mathbb{C})^o \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Sets})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{X}(S) = \left\{(E, \nabla, \{l_j^{(i)}\})\right\} / \sim$$

for a noetherian scheme S over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}$, where

(1) E is a vector bundle on $C \times S$ of rank r and $\deg(E|_{C\times\{s\}}) = a$ for any $s \in S$,
(2) $\nabla\colon E \longrightarrow E \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(D \times S)$ is a relative connection,
(3) $E|_{m_i t_i \times S} = l_0^{(i)} \supset l_1^{(i)} \supset \cdots \supset l_{s_i-1}^{(i)} \supset l_{s_i}^{(i)} = 0$ is a filtration by $\mathcal{O}_{m_i t_i \times S}$-submodules such that each $l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)}$ is flat over S, $\operatorname{length}((l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)}) \otimes k(s)) = m_i r_j^{(i)}$ for any $s \in S$ and $\nabla|_{m_i t_i \times S}(l_j^{(i)}) \subset l_j^{(i)} \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(D \times S)$ for any i, j,
(4) for any geometric point s of S and for any non-trivial subbundle $0 \neq F \subsetneq E|_{C\times s}$ satisfying $\nabla(F) \subset F \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times s}(D \times s)$, the inequality

$$\frac{\deg F + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} \alpha_j^{(i)} \operatorname{length}((F|_{m_i t_i \times s} \cap l_{j-1}^{(i)}|_{m_i t_i \times s})/(F|_{m_i t_i \times s} \cap l_j^{(i)}|_{m_i t_i \times s}))}{\operatorname{rank} F} < \frac{\deg(E|_{C\times s}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} \alpha_j^{(i)} \operatorname{length}(l_{j-1}^{(i)}|_{m_i t_i \times s}/l_j^{(i)}|_{m_i t_i \times s})}{\operatorname{rank} E}$$

holds.

Here $(E, \nabla, \{l_j^{(i)}\}) \sim (E', \nabla', \{l_j'^{(i)}\})$ if there are a line bundle P on S and an isomorphism $\theta\colon E \xrightarrow{\sim} E' \otimes P$ satisfying $\nabla'\theta = (\theta \otimes \mathrm{id})\nabla$ and $\theta|_{m_i t_i \times S}(l_j^{(i)}) = l_j'^{(i)} \otimes P$ for any i, j. By a similar argument to that of [13], Theorem 2.1, we can realize a coarse moduli scheme X of $\mathcal{X}$ as a locally closed subscheme of the moduli space of stable parabolic Λ_D^1-triples constructed in [11], Theorem 5.1. So X is quasi-projective and there is a universal family $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\nabla}, \{\tilde{l}_j^{(i)}\})$ over some étale covering $X' \longrightarrow X$. We consider the moduli functor $\mathcal{Y}\colon (\mathrm{Sch}/X')^o \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Sets})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{Y}(S) = \left\{(V_{j,k}^{(i)}, L_{j,k}^{(i)}, \pi_{j,k}^{(i)})_{0\le j\le s_i-1, 0\le k\le r_j^{(i)}-1}^{1\le i\le n}\right\}$$

for a noetherian scheme S over X', where

(1) $l^{(i)}_j/l^{(i)}_{j+1}\otimes\mathcal{O}_S = V^{(i)}_{j,0} \supset V^{(i)}_{j,1} \supset \cdots \supset V^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1} \supset z_iV^{(i)}_{j,0}$ is a filtration by $\mathcal{O}_{m_it_i\times S}$-submodules such that each $V^{(i)}_{j,0}/V^{(i)}_{j,k}$ is flat over S, $V^{(i)}_{j,0}\otimes k(s)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{m_it_i}\otimes k(s)$-module of rank $r^{(i)}_j$ and $\dim_{k(s)}((V^{(i)}_{j,k}/V^{(i)}_{j,k+1})\otimes k(s)) = \dim_{k(s)}((V^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1}/z_iV^{(i)}_{j,0})\otimes k(s)) = 1$ for any $s\in S$,

(2) $\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}\colon V^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j-r^{(i)}_j+1}) \longrightarrow L^{(i)}_{j,k}$ is a quotient $\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]$-module such that $L^{(i)}_{j,k}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j-r^{(i)}_j+1})$-module of rank one and the restriction $p^{(i)}_{j,k} := \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}|_{V^{(i)}_{j,k}}\colon V^{(i)}_{j,k} \longrightarrow L^{(i)}_{j,k}$ is surjective for $k = 0,\dots,r^{(i)}_j-1$.

We can see that $\mathcal{Y}$ can be represented by a locally closed subscheme Y of a product of flag schemes over X'. Let $(\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k},\tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k},\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k})$ be a universal family over Y. We also take a pull-back $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = (\tilde{\nu}^{(i)}_j)$ to $Y\times N((r^{(i)}_j),a)$ of the universal family over $N((r^{(i)}_j),a)$. There is a maximal closed subscheme M' of $Y\times N((r^{(i)}_j),a)$ such that there are homomorphisms $\tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\colon \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'} \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k-1}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'}$, $\tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j}\colon (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1})\otimes\tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,0}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'} \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'}$ and the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j-r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathrm{id}} & \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}} \\
\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k-1}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j-r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}} & \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k-1}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'},
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1})\otimes\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,0}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j-r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,0}} & (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1})\otimes\tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,0}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'} \\
\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j}}\downarrow \\
\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j-r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1}} & \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'}
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j-r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathrm{id}} & L^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'} \\
{\scriptstyle \nabla^{(i)}_j\otimes\mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \tilde{\nu}^{(i)}_{j,k}}\downarrow \\
\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\Omega^1_C(D)\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j-r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathrm{id}} & L^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\Omega^1_C(D)\otimes\mathcal{O}_{M'}
\end{array}$$

are commutative for any i,j,k. We can see that $M' \longrightarrow X'\times N((r^{(i)}_j),a)$ descends to a quasi-projective morphism $M \longrightarrow X\times N((r^{(i)}_j),a)$, that is, $M' \cong M\times_{X\times N((r^{(i)}_j),a)}(X'\times N((r^{(i)}_j),a))$. By construction M is nothing but the desired moduli space $M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)$. □

3. Smoothness and dimension of the moduli space of parabolic connections of generic ramified type

Theorem 3.1. *The structure morphism $M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a) \longrightarrow N((r^{(i)}_j),a)$ is a smooth morphism and its fiber $M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ over $\boldsymbol{\nu}\in N((r^{(i)}_j),a)$ is of equi-dimension*

$$2r^2(g-1)+2+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(r^2-r)m_i$$

if $M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\neq\emptyset$.

For the proof of Theorem 3.1, we use the morphism

$$\begin{aligned}\det\colon M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a) &\longrightarrow M_{C,D}(1,a)\times_{N((1),a)} N((r^{(i)}_j),a)\\ (E,\nabla,\{l^{(i)}_j,V^{(i)}_{j,k},L^{(i)}_{j,k},\pi^{(i)}_{j,k},\phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\}) &\mapsto (\det(E,\nabla),\boldsymbol{\nu}),\end{aligned}$$

where $M_{C,D}(1,a)$ is the moduli space of pairs (L,∇_L) of a line bundle L on C of degree a and a connection $\nabla_L\colon L\longrightarrow L\otimes\Omega^1_C(D)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}N((1),a) &= \left\{(\lambda^{(i)})_{1\le i\le n}\in\mathbb{C}[z_i]/(z_i^{m_i})\frac{dz_i}{z_i^{m_i}}\,\middle|\, a+\sum_{i=1}^n \mathsf{res}(\lambda^{(i)})=0\right\}\\ M_{C,D}(1,a)&\ni(L,\nabla_L)\mapsto(\nabla|_{m_it_i})_{1\le i\le n}\in N((1),a)\\ N((r^{(i)}_j),a)&\ni(\nu^{(i)}_{j,k})\mapsto\left(\sum_{j=0}^{s_i-1}\sum_{k=0}^{r^{(i)}_j-1}\frac{1}{r^{(i)}_j}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\nu^{(i)}_{j,k}\right)\right)_{1\le i\le n}\in N((1),a).\end{aligned}$$

Here we define $\operatorname{Tr}(\mu):=a_0(z)\dfrac{dz_i}{z_i^{m_i}}$ for $\mu=\sum_{k=0}^{r^{(i)}_j-1}a_k(z_i)\dfrac{(w^{(i)}_j)^k dw^{(i)}_j}{(w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j-r^{(i)}_j+1}}$ with $a_k(z_i)\in\mathbb{C}[z_i]/(z_i^{m_i})$.

Note that $M_{C,D}(1,a)$ is an affine space bundle over the Jacobian variety of C and so it is smooth over $N((1),a)$. So it is sufficient to prove the following proposition for the smoothness of $M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)$.

Proposition 3.1. *The morphism* $\det\colon M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)\longrightarrow M_{C,D}(1,a)\times_{N((1),a)}N((r^{(i)}_j),a)$ *defined above is a smooth morphism.*

Proof. Take an Artinian local ring A over $\mathbb{C}$ with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and an ideal I of A satisfying $\mathfrak{m}I=0$. Assume that a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\operatorname{Spec}A/I & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)\\ \downarrow & & \det\downarrow\\ \operatorname{Spec}A & \xrightarrow{g} & M_{C,D}((1),a)\times_{N((1),a)}N((r^{(i)}_j),a)\end{array}$$

is given. The morphism g corresponds to a tuple $((L,\nabla_L),\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$, where L is a line bundle on $C\times\operatorname{Spec}A$, $\nabla_L\colon L\longrightarrow L\otimes\Omega^1_{C\times\operatorname{Spec}A/\operatorname{Spec}A}(D\times\operatorname{Spec}A)$ is a relative connection and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}=(\tilde{\nu}^{(i)}_{j,k})\in N((r^{(i)}_j),a)(A)$ satisfies

$$\nabla_L|_{m_it_i\times\operatorname{Spec}A}=\sum_{j=0}^{s_i-1}\sum_{k=0}^{r^{(i)}_j-1}\frac{1}{r^{(i)}_j}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{\nu}^{(i)}_{j,k}\right).$$

If we put $\boldsymbol{\nu}=(\nu^{(i)}_{j,k}):=\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\otimes A/I$, then f corresponds to a flat family $(E,\nabla,\{l^{(i)}_j,V^{(i)}_{j,k},L^{(i)}_{j,k},\pi^{(i)}_{j,k},\phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$ of parabolic connections of generic ramified type over A/I with the exponent $\boldsymbol{\nu}$.

Take an affine open covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ of C such that $\sharp\{\alpha|t_i\in U_\alpha\}=1$ for any i and $\sharp\{i|t_i\in U_\alpha\}\le 1$ for any α. For each i, we take α with $t_i\in U_\alpha$. By shrinking U_α if necessary, we can take a basis $\{e^{(i)}_{j,k}\}$ of $E|_{U_\alpha\times\operatorname{Spec}A/I}$ such that $l^{(i)}_j$ is generated by $\{e^{(i)}_{j',k}|_{m_it_i\times\operatorname{Spec}A/I}|j'\ge j\}$ and for the induced class $f^{(i)}_{j,k}=\overline{e^{(i)}_{j,k}|_{m_it_i\times\operatorname{Spec}A/I}}\in l^{(i)}_j/l^{(i)}_{j+1}$, each $f^{(i)}_{j,k}\in V^{(i)}_{j,k}$ gives a generator of $V^{(i)}_{j,k}/V^{(i)}_{j,k+1}$ for $0\le k\le r^{(i)}_j-2$ and $f^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1}$ gives a generator of $V^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1}/z_iV^{(i)}_{j,0}$. We can write

$$\nabla^{(i)}_j(f^{(i)}_{j,k})=\sum_{k'=0}^{r^{(i)}_j-1}\omega^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)}f^{(i)}_{j,k'}$$

for some $\omega^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)} \in \Omega^1_C(D)|_{m_it_i} \otimes A/I$. Since $\nabla^{(i)}_j(V^{(i)}_{j,k}) \subset V^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$, we can write $\omega^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)} = z_i\eta^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)}$ for some $\eta^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)} \in \Omega^1_C(D)|_{m_it_i} \otimes A/I$ if $k' < k$. Note that $\omega^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)}$ satisfies

$$\nu^{(i)}_{j,k}\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}(f^{(i)}_{j,k}) = \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \eta^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)}\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}(z_if^{(i)}_{j,k'}) + \sum_{k'=k}^{r^{(i)}_j-1} \omega^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)}\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}(f^{(i)}_{j,k'}).$$

We can easily take a lift $\tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}$ of $L^{(i)}_{j,k}$ as a free $A[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1})$-module of rank one and we can also take a lift $\tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\colon \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k} \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k-1}$ of $\phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\colon L^{(i)}_{j,k} \longrightarrow L^{(i)}_{j,k-1}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, r^{(i)}_j - 1$ and a lift $\tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j}\colon (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1}) \otimes \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,0} \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1}$ of $\phi^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j}$ such that the composite $\tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,1} \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j}$ coincides with the canonical homomorphism $(z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1}) \otimes \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,0} \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,0}$. We can take a lift $m^{(k)}_k \in \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}$ of $\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}(f^{(i)}_{j,k})$ for $k = 0, \ldots, r^{(i)}_j - 1$. If we put $m^{(k)}_{k'} := (\tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k+1} \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k'})(m^{(k')}_{k'}) \in \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}$ for $k' > k$ and $m^{(k)}_{k'} := (\tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k+1} \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j})(z_i \otimes m^{(0)}_{k'})$ for $k' < k$, then $m^{(k)}_{k'}$ is a lift of $\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}(f^{(i)}_{j,k'})$ for $k' \geq k$ and $m^{(k)}_{k'}$ is a lift of $\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}(z_if^{(i)}_{j,k'})$ for $k' < k$. Furthermore, $\tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}(m^{(k)}_{k'}) = m^{(k-1)}_{k'}$ if $k' \neq k-1$ and $\tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}(m^{(k)}_{k-1}) = z_im^{(k-1)}_{k-1}$. Then we can write

$$\tilde{\nu}^{(i)}_{j,k}m^{(k)}_k = \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \tilde{\eta}^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)}m^{(k)}_{k'} + \sum_{k'=k}^{r^{(i)}_j-1} \tilde{\omega}^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)}m^{(k)}_{k'}.$$

for some lift $\tilde{\eta}^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)} \in \Omega^1_C(D)|_{m_it_i} \otimes A$ of $\eta^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)}$ and a lift $\tilde{\omega}^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)} \in \Omega^1_C(D)|_{m_it_i} \otimes A$ of $\omega^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)}$. We take a lift $\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,0}$ of $V^{(i)}_{j,0}$ as a free $\mathcal{O}_{m_it_i} \otimes A$-module of rank $r^{(i)}_j$ with a basis $\{\tilde{f}^{(i)}_{j,k}\}$ which is a lift of $\{f^{(i)}_{j,k}\}$. If we put $\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k} := \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} Az_i\tilde{f}^{(i)}_{j,k'} + \sum_{k'=k}^{r^{(i)}_j-1} A\tilde{f}^{(i)}_{j,k'}$, then $\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}$ is a lift of $V^{(i)}_{j,k}$. We define a homomorphism $\tilde{\nabla}^{(i)}_j\colon \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,0} \longrightarrow \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,0} \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$ by

$$\tilde{\nabla}^{(i)}_j(\tilde{f}^{(i)}_{j,k}) = \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \tilde{\eta}^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)}z_i\tilde{f}^{(i)}_{j,k'} + \sum_{k'=k}^{r^{(i)}_j-1} \tilde{\omega}^{(i)}_{j,(k',k)}\tilde{f}^{(i)}_{j,k'}.$$

We define a homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\colon \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes A[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1}) \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}$ by $\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}(\tilde{f}^{(i)}_{j,k'} \otimes 1) = m^{(k)}_{k'}$ for $k' \geq k$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}(z_i\tilde{f}^{(i)}_{j,k'} \otimes 1) = m^{(k)}_{k'}$ for $k' < k$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes A[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}} & \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\nabla}^{(i)}_j} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\nu}^{(i)}_{j,k}} \\ \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \otimes\Omega^1_C(D) \otimes A[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes 1} & \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \end{array}$$

is commutative for $k = 0, \ldots, r^{(i)}_j - 1$. The diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes A[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}} & \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}} \\ \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k-1} \otimes A[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_ir^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k-1}} & \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k-1} \end{array}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, r_j^{(i)} - 1$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1}) \otimes V^{(i)}_{j,0} & \xrightarrow{1\otimes\pi^{(i)}_{j,0}} & (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1}) \otimes L^{(i)}_{j,0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,r_j^{(i)}} \\ \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1} \otimes A[w_j^{(i)}]/((w_j^{(i)})^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)}+1}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1}} & \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1} \end{array}$$

commute too. We take a free $\mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} \otimes A$-module E_α with an isomorphism $\bigwedge^r E_\alpha \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sigma_\alpha} L|_{U_\alpha \otimes A}$ such that $E_\alpha \otimes A/I = E|_{U_\alpha \otimes A/I}$ and $\sigma_\alpha \otimes A/I$ is the given isomorphism $\bigwedge^r E|_{U_\alpha\otimes A/I} \xrightarrow{\sim} L|_{U_\alpha\otimes A/I}$. We can take a lift $\tilde{e}^{(i)}_{j,k} \in E_\alpha$ of $e^{(i)}_{j,k}$. Using this basis, we can give a parabolic structure $\{\tilde{l}^{(i)}_j\}$ on E_α, which is a lift of $\{l^{(i)}_j\}$. Furthermore, $\tilde{e}^{(i)}_{j,k}$ induces the elements $\tilde{f}^{(i)}_{j,k} \in \tilde{l}^{(i)}_j/\tilde{l}^{(i)}_{j+1}$ for any i, j, k under a certain identification $\tilde{l}^{(i)}_j/\tilde{l}^{(i)}_{j+1} = \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,0}$. We can give a connection $\nabla_\alpha \colon E_\alpha \longrightarrow E_\alpha \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$ which induces $\tilde{\nabla}^{(i)}_j$ and $\det(E_\alpha, \nabla_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} (L, \nabla_L)|_{U_\alpha\otimes A}$ via σ_α. So we obtain a local parabolic connection $(E_\alpha, \nabla_\alpha, \{\tilde{l}^{(i)}_j, \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$ on $U_\alpha \times \operatorname{Spec} A$.

If $t_i \notin U_\alpha$ for any i, then we can easily give a local parabolic connection on $U_\alpha \otimes A$ which is a lift of $(E, \nabla, \{l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\})|_{U_\alpha\otimes A/I}$. Note that the data $\{\tilde{l}^{(i)}_j, \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\}$ is nothing in this case.

We set $(\bar{E}, \bar{\nabla}, \{\bar{l}^{(i)}_j, \bar{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \bar{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \bar{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \bar{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\}) := (E, \nabla, \{l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\}) \otimes A/\mathfrak{m}$ and define a complex $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet_0$ by

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}^0_0 = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{E}nd(\bar{E}) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Tr}(u) = 0,\ u|_{m_i t_i}(\bar{l}^{(i)}_j) \subset \bar{l}^{(i)}_j \text{ for any } i, j \text{ and for the induced} \\ \text{homomorphism } u^{(i)}_j \colon \bar{l}^{(i)}_j/\bar{l}^{(i)}_{j+1} \longrightarrow \bar{l}^{(i)}_j/\bar{l}^{(i)}_{j+1},\ u^{(i)}_j(\bar{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}) \subset \bar{V}^{(i)}_{j,k} \\ \text{and } \bar{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k} \circ (u^{(i)}_j \otimes \mathrm{id})(\ker \bar{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}) = 0 \text{ for any } k \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}^1_0 = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{E}nd(\bar{E}) \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Tr}(v) = 0,\ v|_{m_i t_i}(\bar{l}^{(i)}_j) \subset \bar{l}^{(i)}_j \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \\ \text{for any } i, j \text{ and for the induced homomorphism} \\ v^{(i)}_j \colon \bar{l}^{(i)}_j/\bar{l}^{(i)}_{j+1} \longrightarrow \bar{l}^{(i)}_j/\bar{l}^{(i)}_{j+1} \otimes \Omega^1_C(D), \\ v^{(i)}_j(\bar{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}) \subset \bar{V}^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \text{ and} \\ (\bar{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \mathrm{id})(v^{(i)}_j(\bar{V}^{(i)}_{j,k})) = 0 \text{ for any } k \end{array} \right\}$$

$\nabla_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet} \colon \bar{\mathcal{F}}^0_0 \ni u \mapsto \bar{\nabla} u - u\bar{\nabla} \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}^1_0$.

We define $\theta_{\alpha\alpha} := \mathrm{id}_{E_\alpha}$. For $\alpha \neq \beta$, we take a lift $\theta_{\beta\alpha} : E_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}\otimes A} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}\otimes A}$ of the canonical isomorphism $E_\alpha \otimes A/I|_{U_{\alpha\beta}\otimes A/I} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\phi_\alpha} E|_{U_{\alpha\beta}\otimes A/I} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\phi_\beta^{-1}} E_\beta \otimes A/I|_{U_{\alpha\beta}\otimes A/I}$ such that $\sigma_\beta \circ \det(\theta_{\beta\alpha}) = \sigma_\alpha$, where $U_{\alpha\beta} = U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. We put

$$u_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \phi_\alpha(\theta^{-1}_{\gamma\alpha}\theta_{\gamma\beta}\theta_{\beta\alpha} - \mathrm{id})\phi_\alpha^{-1}, \quad v_{\alpha\beta} := \phi_\alpha(\nabla_\alpha - \theta^{-1}_{\beta\alpha}\nabla_\beta\theta_{\beta\alpha})\phi_\alpha^{-1}.$$

Then we have $\{u_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\} \in C^2(\{U_\alpha\}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}^0_0 \otimes I)$ and $\{v_{\alpha\beta}\} \in C^1(\{U_\alpha\}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}^1_0 \otimes I)$. We can check that $d\{u_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\} = 0$ and $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet_0}(\{u_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\}) = -d\{v_{\alpha\beta}\}$. So we can define an element

$$\omega(E, \nabla, \{l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\}) := [(\{u_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\}, \{v_{\alpha\beta}\})] \in \mathbf{H}^2(\bar{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet_0 \otimes I).$$

in the hyper cohomology group $\mathbf{H}^2(\bar{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet_0 \otimes I)$. Considering a gluing condition of the local parabolic connections $(E_\alpha, \nabla_\alpha, \{\tilde{l}^{(i)}_j, \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$, we can see that the vanishing of the element $\omega(E, \nabla, \{l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$ in $\mathbf{H}^2(\bar{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet_0 \otimes I)$ is equivalent to the existence of an A-valued point $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\nabla}, \{\tilde{l}^{(i)}_j, \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$ of $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a)$ such that

$$(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\nabla}, \{\tilde{l}^{(i)}_j, \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\}) \otimes A/I \cong (E, \nabla, \{l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\}).$$

From the hyper cohomology spectral sequence $H^q(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^p) \Rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{p+q}(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^\bullet)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}^2(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^\bullet) &\cong \operatorname{coker}(H^1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^0) \longrightarrow H^1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^1)) \\ &\cong \operatorname{coker}\left(H^0((\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^0)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C)^\vee \longrightarrow H^0((\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^1)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C)^\vee\right) \\ &\cong \ker\left(H^0((\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^1)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C) \xrightarrow{-H^0(\nabla^\dagger)} H^0((\bar{\mathcal{F}}^0)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C)\right)^\vee.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^1)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{E}nd(\bar{E}) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Tr}(u) = 0,\ u|_{m_i t_i}(\bar{l}_j^{(i)}) \subset l_j^{(i)} \text{ for any } i,j \text{ and} \\ \text{for the induced } u_j^{(i)} \colon \bar{l}_j^{(i)}/\bar{l}_{j+1}^{(i)} \longrightarrow \bar{l}_j^{(i)}/\bar{l}_{j+1}^{(i)}, \\ u_j^{(i)}(V_{j,k}^{(i)}) \subset V_{j,k}^{(i)} \text{ for any } k \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^0)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{E}nd(\bar{E}) \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Tr}(v) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}(v \circ u) \in \Omega^1_C \\ \text{for any } u \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^0 \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\nabla^\dagger \colon (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^1)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C \ni u \mapsto \nabla u - u\nabla \in (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^0)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C.$$

We will check that $\nabla^\dagger \colon (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^1)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C \longrightarrow (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^0)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C$ is indeed defined. Take $u \in (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^1)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C$ and $u' \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^0$. Then there are $\mathcal{O}_{m_i t_i}$-homomorphisms $\beta_{j,k}^{(i)} \colon V_{j,k}^{(i)} \longrightarrow V_{j,k}^{(i)}$ and $\gamma_{j,k}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}[w_j^{(i)}]/((w_j^{(i)})^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)} + 1})$ satisfying the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{j,k}^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{u_j^{(i)}} & V_{j,k}^{(i)} \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}|_{V_{j,k}^{(i)}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}|_{V_{j,k}^{(i)}}} \\ L_{j,k}^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{j,k}^{(i)}} & L_{j,k}^{(i)} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} V_{j,k}^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{u_j'^{(i)}} & V_{j,k}^{(i)} \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}|_{V_{j,k}^{(i)}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}|_{V_{j,k}^{(i)}}} \\ L_{j,k}^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{j,k}^{(i)}} & L_{j,k}^{(i)}, \end{array}$$

where $u_j^{(i)} \colon V_{j,0}^{(i)} \longrightarrow V_{j,0}^{(i)}$ and $u_j'^{(i)} \colon V_{j,0}^{(i)} \longrightarrow V_{j,0}^{(i)}$ are homomorphisms induced by $u|_{m_i t_i}$ and $u'|_{m_i t_i}$, respectively. Then we have $\pi_{j,k}^{(i)}((u_j'^{(i)}\nabla_j^{(i)} - \nabla_j^{(i)}u_j'^{(i)})u_j^{(i)}|_{V_{j,k}^{(i)}}) = (\gamma_{j,k}^{(i)}\nu_{j,k}^{(i)} - \nu_{j,k}^{(i)}\gamma_{j,k}^{(i)})\beta_{j,k}^{(i)}\pi_{j,k}^{(i)} = 0$ for $k = 0, \ldots, r_j^{(i)} - 1$. So we can see that $\operatorname{Tr}((u_j'^{(i)}\nabla_j^{(i)} - \nabla_j^{(i)}u_j'^{(i)})u_j^{(i)}) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}(u_j'^{(i)}(\nabla_j^{(i)}u_j^{(i)} - u_j^{(i)}\nabla_j^{(i)})) &= \operatorname{Tr}(u_j'^{(i)}(\nabla_j^{(i)}u_j^{(i)} - u_j^{(i)}\nabla_j^{(i)})) - \operatorname{Tr}((u_j'^{(i)}\nabla_j^{(i)} - \nabla_j^{(i)}u_j'^{(i)})u_j^{(i)}) \\ &= -\operatorname{Tr}((u_j'^{(i)}u_j^{(i)})\nabla_j^{(i)}) + \operatorname{Tr}(\nabla_j^{(i)}(u_j'^{(i)}u_j^{(i)})) = 0\end{aligned}$$

for any i, j. Thus we have $\operatorname{Tr}(u' \circ (\nabla u - u\nabla)) \in \Omega^1_C$, $\nabla u - u\nabla \in (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^0)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C$ and the morphism $\nabla^\dagger \colon (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^1)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \longrightarrow (\bar{\mathcal{F}}^0)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C$ can be defined certainly.

If we take $u \in \ker(H^0((\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^1)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C) \xrightarrow{-\nabla^\dagger} H^0((\bar{\mathcal{F}}^0)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C))$, then $u : E \longrightarrow E$ is a homomorphism satisfying $\nabla \circ u = (u \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \nabla$ and $u|_{m_i t_i}(l_j^{(i)}) \subset l_j^{(i)}$. Since $(E, \nabla, \{l_j^{(i)}\})$ is $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-stable, we have $u = c \cdot \mathrm{id}$ for some $c \in A/\mathfrak{m}$. So $\operatorname{Tr}(u) = 0$ implies $c = 0$ and $u = 0$. Thus

$$\ker(H^0((\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0^1)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C) \xrightarrow{-\nabla^\dagger} H^0((\bar{\mathcal{F}}^0)^\vee \otimes \Omega^1_C)) = 0$$

and $\mathbf{H}^2(\bar{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet) = 0$. In particular we have $\omega(E, \nabla, \{l_j^{(i)}, V_{j,k}^{(i)}, L_{j,k}^{(i)}, p_{j,k}^{(i)}, \phi_{j,k}^{(i)}\}) = 0$, which means that a parabolic connection $(E, \nabla, \{l_j^{(i)}, V_{j,k}^{(i)}, L_{j,k}^{(i)}, p_{j,k}^{(i)}, \phi_{j,k}^{(i)}\})$ of generic ramified type over A/I can be lifted to an A-valued point of $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r_j^{(i)}), a)_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$. Hence det is a smooth morphism. □

For the proof of Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *For any point $x \in M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r_j^{(i)}), a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathbb{C})$, the dimension of the tangent space $\Theta_{M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r_j^{(i)}), a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}(x)$ of $M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r_j^{(i)}), a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ at x is*

$$2r^2(g-1) + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(r^2 - r)m_i.$$

Proof. Let $(E,\nabla,\{l^{(i)}_j,V^{(i)}_{j,k},L^{(i)}_{j,k},\pi^{(i)}_{j,k},\phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$ be the parabolic connection of generic ramified type with the exponent $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ which corresponds to x. We define the complex $\mathcal{F}^\bullet_x$ by

$$\mathcal{F}^0_x=\left\{u\in\mathcal{E}nd(E)\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{l}u|_{m_it_i}(l^{(i)}_j)\subset l^{(i)}_j \text{ for any } i,j \text{ and for the induced}\\ \text{homomorphism } u^{(i)}_j: l^{(i)}_j/l^{(i)}_{j+1}\longrightarrow l^{(i)}_j/l^{(i)}_{j+1},\ u^{(i)}_j(V^{(i)}_{j,k})\subset V^{(i)}_{j,k}\\ \text{and } \bar\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}((u^{(i)}_j\otimes\mathrm{id})(\ker\bar\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}))=0 \text{ for any } k\end{array}\right\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}^1_x=\left\{v\in\mathcal{E}nd(E)\otimes\Omega^1_C(D)\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{l}v|_{m_it_i}(l^{(i)}_j)\subset l^{(i)}_j\otimes\Omega^1_C(D) \text{ for any } i,j\\ \text{and for the induced homomorphism}\\ v^{(i)}_j: l^{(i)}_j/l^{(i)}_{j+1}\longrightarrow l^{(i)}_j/l^{(i)}_{j+1}\otimes\Omega^1_C(D),\\ v^{(i)}_j(V^{(i)}_{j,k})\subset V^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\Omega^1_C(D) \text{ for any } k \text{ and}\\ (\bar\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathrm{id})(v^{(i)}_j(V^{(i)}_{j,k}))=0 \text{ for any } k\end{array}\right\},$$

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet_x}\colon\mathcal{F}^0_x\ni u\mapsto\nabla u-u\nabla\in\mathcal{F}^1_x.$$

We will prove that the tangent space $\Theta_{M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}(x)$ of $M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ at x is isomorphic to the hyper cohomology $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{F}^\bullet_x)$.

Take a tangent vector $v\in\Theta_{M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}(x)$ which corresponds to a member

$$(E^v,\nabla^v,\{(l^v)^{(i)}_j,(V^v)^{(i)}_{j,k},(L^v)^{(i)}_{j,k},(\pi^v)^{(i)}_{j,k},(\phi^v)^{(i)}_{j,k}\})\in M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon])$$

such that

$$(E^v,\nabla^v,\{(l^v)^{(i)}_j,(V^v)^{(i)}_{j,k},(L^v)^{(i)}_{j,k},(\pi^v)^{(i)}_{j,k},(\phi^v)^{(i)}_{j,k}\})\otimes\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon)\cong(E,\nabla,\{l^{(i)}_j,V^{(i)}_{j,k},L^{(i)}_{j,k},\pi^{(i)}_{j,k},\phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\}).$$

We take an affine open covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ of C as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Take a lift

$$\varphi_\alpha\colon E^v|_{U_\alpha\otimes\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]}\xrightarrow{\sim}E\otimes\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]|_{U_\alpha}$$

of the given isomorphism $E^v\otimes\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon)|_{U_\alpha}\xrightarrow{\sim}E|_{U_\alpha}$ such that the restriction $\varphi_\alpha|_{m_it_i\otimes\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]}$ sends the data $\{(l^v)^{(i)}_j,(V^v)^{(i)}_{j,k},(L^v)^{(i)}_{j,k},(\pi^v)^{(i)}_{j,k},(\phi^v)^{(i)}_{j,k}\}$ to $\{l^{(i)}_j,V^{(i)}_{j,k},L^{(i)}_{j,k},\pi^{(i)}_{j,k},\phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\}\otimes\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]$ if $t_i\in U_\alpha$. We put

$$\begin{aligned}u_{\alpha\beta}&:=\varphi_\alpha\circ\varphi_\beta^{-1}-\mathrm{id}_{E|_{U_{\alpha\beta}\otimes\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]}},\\ v_\alpha&:=(\varphi_\alpha\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\nabla^v\circ\varphi_\alpha^{-1}-\nabla|_{U_\alpha}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\{u_{\alpha\beta}\}\in C^1(\{U_\alpha\},(\epsilon)\otimes\mathcal{F}^0_x)$, $\{v_\alpha\}\in C^0(\{U_\alpha\},(\epsilon)\otimes\mathcal{F}^1_x)$ and

$$d\{u_{\alpha\beta}\}=\{u_{\beta\gamma}-u_{\alpha\gamma}+u_{\alpha\beta}\}=0,\quad\nabla_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet_x}\{u_{\alpha\beta}\}=\{v_\beta-v_\alpha\}=d\{v_\alpha\}.$$

So $[(\{u_{\alpha\beta}\},\{v_\alpha\})]$ gives an element $\Phi(v)$ of $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{F}^\bullet_x)$. We can check that the correspondence $v\mapsto\Phi(v)$ gives an isomorphism

$$\Phi\colon\Theta_{M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}(x)\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{F}^\bullet_x).$$

From the hyper cohomology spectral sequence $H^q(\mathcal{F}^p_x)\Rightarrow\mathbf{H}^{p+q}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet_x)$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}\longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}^0_x)\longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}^1_x)\longrightarrow\mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{F}^\bullet_x)\longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F}^0_x)\longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F}^1_x)\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}\longrightarrow 0.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dim\mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{F}^\bullet_x)&=\dim H^0(\mathcal{F}^1_x)+\dim H^1(\mathcal{F}^0_x)-\dim H^0(\mathcal{F}^0_x)-\dim H^1(\mathcal{F}^1_x)+2\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}\\ &=\chi(\mathcal{F}^1_x)-\chi(\mathcal{F}^0_x)+2.\end{aligned}$$

We can calculate $\chi(\mathcal{F}^1_x)$ and $\chi(\mathcal{F}^0_x)$ by its definition as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\mathcal{F}^1_x) &= \operatorname{rank}\mathcal{F}^1_x(1-g)+\deg(\mathcal{F}^1_x)\\
&= r^2(1-g)+r^2(2g-2)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j'<j} m_i r^{(i)}_{j'} r^{(i)}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{s_i-1}\sum_{k=0}^{r^{(i)}_j-1}(r^{(i)}_j-1-k)\\
\chi(\mathcal{F}^0_x) &= \operatorname{rank}\mathcal{F}^0_x(1-g)+\deg(\mathcal{F}^0_x)\\
&= r^2(1-g)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j'\le j} m_i r^{(i)}_{j'} r^{(i)}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{s_i-1}(m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1+\sum_{k=0}^{r^{(i)}_j-1}1+\sum_{k=0}^{r^{(i)}_j-1}(r^{(i)}_j-1-k))\\
&= r^2(1-g)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j'\le j} m_i r^{(i)}_{j'} r^{(i)}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{s_i-1} m_i r^{(i)}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{s_i-1}\sum_{k=0}^{r^{(i)}_j-1}(r^{(i)}_j-1-k).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim \mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{F}^\bullet_x) &= \chi(\mathcal{F}^1_x)-\chi(\mathcal{F}^0_x)+2\\
&= 2r^2(g-1)+2+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j'<j} 2m_i r^{(i)}_{j'} r^{(i)}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{s_i-1} m_i (r^{(i)}_j)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{s_i-1} m_i r^{(i)}_j\\
&= 2r^2(g-1)+2+\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i r(r-1)
\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. □

4. Symplectic form on the moduli space of parabolic connections of generic ramified type for generic exponent

We will give in this section a symplectic form on the moduli space of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-stable parabolic connections of generic ramified type with the exponent $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ for generic $\boldsymbol{\nu}$. For this we extend the moduli space to the moduli space of simple parabolic connections which is a non-separated algebraic space.

Definition 4.1. Take an exponent $\boldsymbol{\nu}\in N((r^{(i)}_j),a)$ of generic ramified type. We define a functor $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\colon (\mathrm{Sch}/\mathbb{C})^o \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Sets})$ by

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(S) := \left\{(E,\nabla,\{l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\})\right\}/\sim$$

for a noetherian scheme S over $\mathbb{C}$, where

(1) E is a vector bundle on $C\times S$ of rank r and $\deg(E|_{C\times\{s\}})=a$ for any $s\in S$,
(2) $\nabla\colon E\longrightarrow E\otimes\Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(D\times S)$ is a relative connection,
(3) $E|_{m_it_i\times S}=l^{(i)}_0\supset l^{(i)}_1\supset\cdots\supset l^{(i)}_{s_i-1}\supset l^{(i)}_{s_i}=0$ is a filtration by $\mathcal{O}_{m_it_i\times S}$-submodules such that each $l^{(i)}_j/l^{(i)}_{j+1}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{m_it_i\times S}$-module of rank $r^{(i)}_j$ and $\nabla|_{m_it_i\times S}(l^{(i)}_j)\subset l^{(i)}_j\otimes\Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(D\times S)$ for any i,j,
(4) $l^{(i)}_j/l^{(i)}_{j+1}=V^{(i)}_{j,0}\supset V^{(i)}_{j,1}\supset\cdots\supset V^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1}\supset z_iV^{(i)}_{j,0}$ is a filtration such that $V^{(i)}_{j,k}/z_iV^{(i)}_{j,0}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{t_i\times S}$-module of rank $r^{(i)}_j-k$ and $\nabla^{(i)}_j(V^{(i)}_{j,k})\subset V^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(D\times S)$ for any k, where $\nabla^{(i)}_j\colon l^{(i)}_j/l^{(i)}_{j+1}\longrightarrow l^{(i)}_j/l^{(i)}_{j+1}\otimes\Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(D\times S)$ is the homomorphism induced by $\nabla_{m_it_i\times S}$,

(5) $\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}\colon V^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1}) \longrightarrow L^{(i)}_{j,k}$ is a quotient $\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]$-module such that $L^{(i)}_{j,k}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1})$-module of rank one and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}} & L^{(i)}_{j,k} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nabla^{(i)}_j\otimes\mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nu^{(i)}_{j,k}} \\ V^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(D\times S)\otimes\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathrm{id}} & L^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(D\times S) \end{array}$$

is commutative for $0\le k\le r^{(i)}_j-1$,

(6) $\phi^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j}\colon (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1})\otimes L^{(i)}_{j,0}\longrightarrow L^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1}$ and $\phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\colon L^{(i)}_{j,k}\longrightarrow L^{(i)}_{j,k-1}$ for $k=1,\dots,r^{(i)}_j-1$ are $\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1})$-homomorphisms such that $\mathrm{Im}(\phi^{(i)}_{j,k}) = w^{(i)}_j L^{(i)}_{j,k-1}$ and the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^{(i)}_{j,k}\otimes\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}} & L^{(i)}_{j,k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}} \\ V^{(i)}_{j,k-1}\otimes\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,k-1}} & L^{(i)}_{j,k-1} \end{array}$$

for $1\le k\le r^{(i)}_j-1$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1})\otimes V^{(i)}_{j,0}\otimes\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\pi^{(i)}_{j,0}} & (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1})\otimes L^{(i)}_{j,0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j}} \\ V^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1}\otimes\mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j+1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1}} & L^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1} \end{array}$$

are commutative,

(7) for any geometric point s of S, $\mathrm{End}((E,\nabla,\{l^{(i)}_j\})|_{C\times s})$ consists of only scalar endomorphisms, where $\mathrm{End}((E,\nabla,\{l^{(i)}_j\})|_{C\times s})$ is the set of the endomorphisms $u\colon E\otimes k(s)\longrightarrow E\otimes k(s)$ satisfying $(\nabla\otimes k(s))\circ u = (u\otimes 1)\circ(\nabla\otimes k(s))$, $u|_{m_i t_i\times s}(l^{(i)}_j\otimes k(s))\subset l^{(i)}_j\otimes k(s)$ for any i,j.

Here the relation $\sim$ is the same as that in Definition 2.3.

The next proposition is much easier than the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 4.1. *The étale sheafification of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ is represented by an algebraic space $M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$, locally of finite type over* $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{C}$. *Moreover, $M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ is smooth of dimension $2r^2(g-1)+2+\sum_{i=1}^n (r^2-r)m_i$ if it is non-empty.*

Proof. For each integer m, we consider the subfunctor $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{spl,m}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\subset\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ such that $(E,\nabla,\{l^{(i)}_j,V^{(i)}_{j,k},L^{(i)}_{j,k},\pi^{(i)}_{j,k},\phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\})\in\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(S)$ lies in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{spl,m}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(S)$ if $E|_{C\times s}$ is m-regular for any $s\in S$. If we put $N:=h^0(E(m))$, then all m-regular vector bundles of rank r and degree a can be parametrized by a Zariski open set Q of the Quot-scheme $\mathrm{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus N}(-m)}$. Take a universal quotient bundle $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus N}_{C\times Q}(-m)\longrightarrow\tilde{E}$. We take the $\mathcal{O}_C$-bimodule Λ^1_D given in [11], section 5.1. Then we can construct a quasi-projective scheme V over Q such that there is a functorial isomorphism $V(S)\cong\mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^1_D\otimes\tilde{E}_S,\tilde{E}_S)$ for noetherian schemes S over Q. The universal family over V corresponds to $(\tilde{\phi}\colon\tilde{E}_V\longrightarrow\tilde{E}_V,\tilde{\nabla}\colon\tilde{E}_V\longrightarrow\tilde{E}_V\otimes\Omega^1_C(D))$. If V' is the subscheme of V

where $\tilde{\phi}$ becomes identity, then $\tilde{\nabla}_{V'}\colon \tilde{E}_{V'} \longrightarrow \tilde{E}_{V'} \otimes \Omega^1_C(D)$ becomes a relative connection. We can construct a locally closed subscheme R of a product of flag schemes over V' such that

$$R(S) = \left\{ (l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} E|_{m_i t_i \times S} = l^{(i)}_0 \supset \cdots \supset l^{(i)}_{s_i - 1} \supset l^{(i)}_{s_i} = 0 \text{ is a filtration,} \\ l^{(i)}_j / l^{(i)}_{j+1} = V^{(i)}_{j,0} \supset \cdots \supset V^{(i)}_{j, r^{(i)}_j - 1} \text{ is a filtration,} \\ V^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j + 1}) \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,k}} L^{(i)}_{j,k} \\ \text{is a quotient, } (z_i)/(z_i^{m_i+1}) \otimes L^{(i)}_{j,0} \xrightarrow{\phi^{(i)}_{j, r^{(i)}_j}} L^{(i)}_{j, r^{(i)}_j - 1} \\ \text{and } L^{(i)}_{j,k} \xrightarrow{\phi^{(i)}_{j,k}} L^{(i)}_{j,k-1} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq r^{(i)}_j - 1 \text{ are} \\ \mathcal{O}_S[w^{(i)}_j]\text{-homomorphisms such that the conditions} \\ (3),(4),(5),(6) \text{ and } (7) \text{ in Definition 4.1 are satisfied} \end{array} \right\}$$

for noetherian schemes S over V'. If $(\tilde{l}^{(i)}_j, \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k})$ is the universal family over R, then $(\tilde{E}_R, \tilde{\nabla}_R, \tilde{l}^{(i)}_j, \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k})$ becomes a flat family of parabolic connections over R. So there is a canonical morphism $R \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{spl,m}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a)$ which is formally smooth by construction. The action of $PGL_N(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathrm{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus N}(-m)}$ canonically lifts to a free action on R. We can see that the quotient $M^{\mathrm{spl,m}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a) := R/PGL_N(\mathbb{C})$ as an algebraic space represents the étale sheafification of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{spl,m}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a)$. There are canonical inclusions $M^{\mathrm{spl,m}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a) \hookrightarrow M^{\mathrm{spl,m+1}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a)$ and

$$M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \bigcup_{m \geq 0} M^{\mathrm{spl},m}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$$

gives the desired moduli space. Smoothness and the dimension counting follow from the same argument as that of the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

We can take a quasi-finite étale covering $U \longrightarrow M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ such that there is a universal family $(E, \nabla, \{l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, F^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$ over U. Consider the complex $\mathcal{F}^\bullet_U$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^0_U := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{E}nd(E) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} u|_{m_i t_i \times U}(l^{(i)}_j) \subset l^{(i)}_j \text{ for any } i,j \text{ and for the induced} \\ \text{homomorphism } u^{(i)}_j : l^{(i)}_j / l^{(i)}_{j+1} \to l^{(i)}_j / l^{(i)}_{j+1}, \\ u^{(i)}_j(V^{(i)}_{j,k}) \subset V^{(i)}_{j,k} \text{ and } \pi^{(i)}_{j,k} \circ (u^{(i)}_j \otimes \mathrm{id})|_{\ker \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}} = 0 \text{ for any } k \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}^1_U := \left\{ v \in \mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} v|_{m_i t_i \times U}(l^{(i)}_j) \subset l^{(i)}_j \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \text{ for any } i,j \text{ and for the induced} \\ \text{homomorphism } v^{(i)}_j : l^{(i)}_j / l^{(i)}_{j+1} \to (l^{(i)}_j / l^{(i)}_{j+1}) \otimes \Omega^1_C(D), \\ v^{(i)}_j(V^{(i)}_{j,k}) \subset V^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \Omega^1_C(D) \text{ and } \pi^{(i)}_{j,k} \circ v^{(i)}_j|_{V^{(i)}_{j,k}} = 0 \text{ for any } k \end{array} \right\},$$

$\nabla_{\mathcal{F}_U}\colon \mathcal{F}^0_U \ni u \mapsto \nabla \circ u - u \circ \nabla \in \mathcal{F}^1_U$.

We can see that the tangent bundle Θ_U is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^1(\pi_U)_*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet_U)$, where $\pi_U\colon C \times U \longrightarrow U$ is the second projection. We consider the pairing

$$\omega_U : \mathbf{R}^1(\pi_U)_*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet_U) \times \mathbf{R}^1(\pi_U)_*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet_U) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^2(\pi_U)_*(\Omega^\bullet_{C \times U/U}) \cong \mathcal{O}_U$$
$$([\{u_{\alpha\beta}\}, \{v_\alpha\}], [\{u'_{\alpha\beta}\}, \{v'_\alpha\}]) \mapsto [(\{\mathrm{Tr}(u_{\alpha\beta} \circ u'_{\beta\gamma})\}, -\{\mathrm{Tr}(u_{\alpha\beta} \circ v'_\beta - v_\alpha \circ u'_{\alpha\beta})\}]$$

We can easily see that ω_U descends to a pairing

$$\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} : \Theta_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \times \Theta_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}.$$

Take a tangent vector $v \in \Theta_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j), a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}(x)$ corresponding to a $\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]$-valued point

$$(E^v, \nabla^v, \{(l^v)^{(i)}_j, (V^v)^{(i)}_{j,k}, (L^v)^{(i)}_{j,k}, (\pi^v)^{(i)}_{j,k}, (\phi^v)^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$$

of $M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$. We can see by an easy calculation that $\omega_U(v,v) \in \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega^\bullet_C) \cong \mathbf{H}^2(\mathcal{F}^\bullet_U \otimes k(x))$ is nothing but the obstruction class for the lifting of $(E^v, \nabla^v, \{(l^v)^{(i)}_j, (V^v)^{(i)}_{j,k}, (L^v)^{(i)}_{j,k}, (\pi^v)^{(i)}_{j,k}, (\phi^v)^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$ to a $\mathbb{C}[t]/(t^3)$-valued point of $M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$. Since $M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ is smooth, We have $\omega_U(v,v) = 0$. So ω_U is skew-symmetric and we can regard that $\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \in H^0(\Omega^2_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}})$.

Theorem 4.1. *Take $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu^{(i)}_{j,k}) \in N((r^{(i)}_j),a)$ and write*

$$\nu^{(i)}_{j,0} = \sum_{l=0}^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j} c^{(i)}_{j,l}\,(w^{(i)}_j)^l \frac{dz_i}{z_i^{m_i}} \quad (c^{(i)}_{j,l} \in \mathbb{C}).$$

We assume that $c^{(i)}_{j,1} \neq 0$ for any i,j. Then the 2-form $\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ defined above is symplectic, that is, $d\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} = 0$ and $\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ is non-degenerate.

Proof. We take a regular parameter z_i of $\mathcal{O}_{C,t_i}$ as a function on an affine open neighborhood U_i of t_i. We may assume that $t_j \notin U_i$ for $j \neq i$. We choose $(\kappa_{i,0}, \ldots, \kappa_{i,m_i-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{m_i}$ satisfying $\kappa_{i,0} = 0$ and $\kappa_{i,q} \neq \kappa_{i,q'}$ for $q \neq q'$. If we take the parameter h of $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[h]$, $z_i - h\kappa_{i,q}$ becomes a function on $U_i \times \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[h]$ and we can consider its zero scheme $D_{i,q} \subset C \times \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[h]$. If we take some affine open neighborhood H of 0 in $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[h]$, we may assume that the fibers $\{(D_{i,q})_h | 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq q \leq m_i - 1\}$ are distinct $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i$ points for $h \neq 0$. We set $\tilde{D}_i := \sum_{q=0}^{m_i-1} (D_{i,q})_H$ and $\tilde{D} := \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{D}_i$, where $(D_{i,q})_H$ means the base change of $D_{i,q}$ by $H \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[h]$. If we write $a_{i,q} := h\kappa_{i,q}$ and $z_{i,q} := z_i - a_{i,q}$, then $z_{i,q}$ becomes a local defining equation of $(D_{i,q})_H$. By construction, we have $(D_{i,0})_H = t_i \times H$ for any i. We put $T := \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[t] \times H$ and

$$A^{(i)}_j := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_i \times T}[W^{(i)}_j] / \left((W^{(i)}_j)^{r^{(i)}_j} - t^{r^{(i)}_j} - z_i \right).$$

Note that

$$\prod_{l=0}^{r^{(i)}_j - 1} (W^{(i)}_j - t\zeta^l_{r^{(i)}_j}) = (W^{(i)}_j)^{r^{(i)}_j} - t^{r^{(i)}_j} = z_i$$

and $A^{(i)}_j \otimes k(x_0) \cong \mathbb{C}[w^{(i)}_j]/((w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j})$, where $\zeta_{r^{(i)}_j}$ is a primitive $r^{(i)}_j$-th root of unity and x_0 is the closed point of T corresponding to $h = 0$ and $t = 0$. We put

$$A^{(i)}_{j,k} := A^{(i)}_j / \left(z_{i,1} \cdots z_{i,m_i-1} (W^{(i)}_j - \zeta^k_{r^{(i)}_j} t) \right),$$

$$\tilde{\nu}^{(i)}_{j,k} := \sum_{l=0}^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j} c^{(i)}_{j,l}\,(W^{(i)}_j)^l \frac{dz_i}{z_{i,0} z_{i,1} \cdots z_{i,m_i-1}} + k \frac{dz_{i,0}}{r^{(i)}_j z_{i,0}} \in A^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \Omega^1_{C \times T/T}(\tilde{D}_T).$$

If we take some quasi-finite morphism $T' \longrightarrow T$ with T' normal, we may assume that there are $b^{(j)}_{i,0}, \ldots, b^{(j)}_{i,m_i-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{T'}$ satisfying $(b^{(j)}_{i,q})^{r^{(i)}_j} = t^{r^{(i)}_j} + a_{i,q}$. Replacing T' by its shrinking, we may assume that

$$(\dagger) \qquad \sum_{l=1}^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j} c^{(i)}_{j,l} \cdot (\zeta^{lk}_{r^{(i)}_j} - \zeta^{lk'}_{r^{(i)}_j})(b^{(j)}_{i,q}(u))^{l-1} \neq 0$$

for any $u \in T'$ and $0 \leq k < k' \leq r^{(i)}_j - 1$.

We define a moduli functor $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \colon (\mathrm{Sch}/T')^o \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Sets})$ from the category of noetherian schemes (Sch/T') over T' to the category of sets by

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(S) = \left\{ (E, \nabla, \{l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\}) \right\} / \sim,$$

for a noetherian scheme S over T', where

(1) E is a vector bundle on $C \times S$ of rank r and $\deg(E|_{C\times s}) = a$ for any $s \in S$,
(2) $\nabla\colon E \longrightarrow E \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(\tilde{D} \times_T S)$ is a relative connection,
(3) $E|_{(\tilde{D}_i)_S} = l_0^{(i)} \supset l_1^{(i)} \supset \cdots \supset l_{s_i-1}^{(i)} \supset l_{s_i}^{(i)} = 0$ is a filtration by $\mathcal{O}_{(\tilde{D}_i)_S}$-submodules such that each $l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{(\tilde{D}_i)_S}$-module of rank $r_j^{(i)}$ and $\nabla|_{(\tilde{D}_i)_S}(l_j^{(i)}) \subset l_j^{(i)} \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(\tilde{D} \times_T S)$ for any i, j,
(4) $l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)} = V_{j,0}^{(i)} \supset V_{j,1}^{(i)} \supset \cdots \supset V^{(i)}_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1} \supset z_{i,0}V_{j,0}^{(i)}$ is a filtration by $\mathcal{O}_{(\tilde{D}_i)_S}$-submodules such that $V^{(i)}_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1}/z_{i,0}V_{j,0}^{(i)}$ and $V_{j,k}^{(i)}/V_{j,k+1}^{(i)}$ for $k = 0, \ldots, r_j^{(i)} - 1$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{(D_{i,0})_S}$-modules of rank one, $\nabla_j^{(i)}(V_{j,k}^{(i)}) \subset V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(\tilde{D} \times_T S)$ for any k, where $\nabla_j^{(i)}\colon l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)} \longrightarrow l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)} \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(\tilde{D} \times_T S)$ is the homomorphism induced by $\nabla|_{(\tilde{D}_i)_S}$,
(5) $L_{j,k}^{(i)}$ is a locally free $A_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$-module of rank one and $\pi_{j,k}^{(i)}\colon V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes A_{j,k}^{(i)} \longrightarrow L_{j,k}^{(i)}$ is a surjective $A_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$-homomorphism such that $p_{j,k}^{(i)} := \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}|_{V_{j,k}^{(i)}}\colon V_{j,k}^{(i)} \longrightarrow L_{j,k}^{(i)}$ is surjective and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes A_{j,k}^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j,k}^{(i)}} & L_{j,k}^{(i)} \\ {\scriptstyle \nabla_j^{(i)}}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\nu}_{j,k}^{(i)}} \\ V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(\tilde{D} \times_T S) \otimes A_{j,k}^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j,k}^{(i)}} & L_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times S/S}(\tilde{D} \times_T S) \end{array}$$

is commutative for $0 \le k \le r_j^{(i)} - 1$,
(6) $\phi_{j,k}^{(i)}\colon L_{j,k}^{(i)} \longrightarrow L_{j,k-1}^{(i)}$ is an $A_j^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$-homomorphism whose image is $(W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^{k-1}t)L_{j,k-1}^{(i)}$ for $1 \le k \le r_j^{(i)} - 1$ and $\phi_{j,r_j^{(i)}}^{(i)}\colon (z_{i,0})/(z_{i,0}^{m_i+1}) \otimes L_{j,0}^{(i)} \longrightarrow L^{(i)}_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1}$ is an $A_j^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$-homomorphism whose image is $(W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^{r_j^{(i)}-1}t)L^{(i)}_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1}$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes A_j^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j,k}^{(i)}} & L_{j,k}^{(i)} \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{j,k}^{(i)}} \\ V_{j,k-1}^{(i)} \otimes A_j^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j,k-1}^{(i)}} & L_{j,k-1}^{(i)} \end{array}$$

for $k = 1, \ldots, r_j^{(i)} - 1$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (z_{i,0})/(z_{i,0}^{m_i+1}) \otimes V_{j,0}^{(i)} \otimes A_j^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\pi_{j,0}^{(i)}} & (z_{i,0})/(z_{i,0}^{m_i+1}) \otimes L_{j,0}^{(i)} \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^{(i)}_{j,r_j^{(i)}}} \\ V^{(i)}_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1} \otimes A_j^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{\pi^{(i)}_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1}} & L^{(i)}_{j,r_j^{(i)}-1} \end{array}$$

are commutative,
(7) for any point $s \in S$, $(E, \nabla, \{l_j^{(i)}\}) \otimes k(s)$ has only scalar endomorphisms.

Here $(E, \nabla, \{l_j^{(i)}, V_{j,k}^{(i)}, L_{j,k}^{(i)}, \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}, \phi_{j,k}^{(i)}\}) \sim (E', \nabla', \{l_j'^{(i)}, V_{j,k}'^{(i)}, L_{j,k}'^{(i)}, \pi_{j,k}'^{(i)}, \phi_{j,k}'^{(i)}\})$ if there are a line bundle P on S and isomorphisms $\theta\colon E \xrightarrow{\sim} E' \otimes P$, $\vartheta_{j,k}^{(i)}\colon L_{j,k}^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{j,k}'^{(i)} \otimes P$ satisfying $\nabla' \circ \theta = (\theta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \nabla$, $\theta|_{\tilde{D}_i}(l_j^{(i)}) \subset l_j'^{(i)} \otimes P$ for any i, j and for the induced isomorphism $\theta_j^{(i)}\colon l_j^{(i)}/l_{j+1}^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\sim} l_j'^{(i)}/l_{j+1}'^{(i)} \otimes P$,

$\pi'^{(i)}_{j,k} \circ \theta^{(i)}_j|_{V^{(i)}_{j,k}} = \vartheta^{(i)}_{j,k} \circ \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}|_{V^{(i)}_{j,k}}$, $(\phi'^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \mathrm{id}_P) \circ \vartheta^{(i)}_{j,k} = \vartheta^{(i)}_{j,k-1} \circ \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}$ and $(\phi'^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j} \otimes \mathrm{id}_P) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta^{(i)}_{j,0}) = \vartheta^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j-1} \circ \phi^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j}$.

We can see by the similar argument to that of Proposition 4.1 that the étale sheafification of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ can be represented by an algebraic space $M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ locally of finite type over T'. If we set

$$\lambda_i := \sum_{j=0}^{s_i-1} \sum_{k=0}^{r^{(i)}_j-1} \left(\sum_{l'=0}^{m_i-1} c^{(i)}_{j,r^{(i)}_j l'} (z_i + t^{r^{(i)}_j}) \frac{dz_i}{z_{i,0} z_{i,1} \cdots z_{i,m_i-1}} + k \frac{dz_{i,0}}{r^{(i)}_j z_{i,0}} \right)$$

and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} := (\lambda_i)_{1 \le i \le n}$, then there is a moduli scheme $M(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ which represents the functor

$$(\mathrm{Sch}/T') \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Sets})$$
$$S \mapsto \left\{ (L, \nabla) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} L \text{ is a line bundle on } C \times S \\ \nabla_L \colon L \longrightarrow L \otimes \Omega^1_{C \times S/S}(\tilde{D}_S) \text{ is a relative connection} \\ \text{and } \nabla_L|_{(\tilde{D}_i)_S} = (\lambda_i)_S \text{ for any } i \end{array} \right\}.$$

We can see that $M(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is an affine space bundle over the relative Jacobian of $C \times T'$ over T' and it is smooth over T'. We can define a morphism $\det \colon M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \longrightarrow M(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ by $(E, \nabla) \mapsto \det(E, \nabla)$ and we can prove by the similar argument to that of Proposition 3.1 that this is a smooth morphism. So $M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ is smooth over T'. We take a universal family $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\nabla}, \{\tilde{l}^{(i)}_j, \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{L}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k}, \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}_{j,k}\})$ over some quasi-finite étale covering M' of $M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$.

First we consider the fiber $(M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}})_x$ over a point $x \in T'$ satisfying $h \neq 0$ for the corresponding point of $T = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[t] \times H$. Take $(E, \nabla, \{l^{(i)}_j, V^{(i)}_{j,k}, L^{(i)}_{j,k}, \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}, \phi^{(i)}_{j,k}\}) \in (M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}})_x$. Note that we have

$$A^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes k(x) \cong \mathbb{C}[W^{(i)}_j]/(W^{(i)}_j - \zeta^k_{r^{(i)}_j} t) \oplus \bigoplus_{q=1}^{m_i-1} \mathbb{C}[W^{(i)}_j]/((W^{(i)}_j)^{r^{(i)}_j} - (t^{r^{(i)}_j} + a_{iq})_x).$$

Since $(b^{(j)}_{i,q})^{r^{(i)}_j} = (t^{r^{(i)}_j} + a_{i,q})_x$, we have a direct sum decomposition

$$V^{(i)}_{j,0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{(\tilde{D}_i)_x}/(z_{i,q}(x)) \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{r^{(i)}_j-1} L^{(i)}_{j,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}[W^{(i)}_j]/(W^{(i)}_j - \zeta^k_{r^{(i)}_j} b^{(j)}_{i,q}(x))$$

if $q \neq 0$ and $b^{(j)}_{i,q}(x) \neq 0$. Each component $L^{(i)}_{j,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}[W^{(i)}_j]/(W^{(i)}_j - \zeta^k_{r^{(i)}_j} b^{(j)}_{i,q}(x))$ is preserved by $\tilde{\nu}^{(i)}_{j,0}$ and it is an eigen-space of $\nabla^{(i)}_j$. From the definition of T' given by (†), we can see that

$$\mathsf{res}_{z_{i,q}(x)} \left(\tilde{\nu}^{(i)}_{j,0} \pmod{W^{(i)}_j - \zeta^k_{r^{(i)}_j} b^{(j)}_{i,q}(x)} \right) \neq \mathsf{res}_{z_{i,q}(x)} \left(\tilde{\nu}^{(i)}_{j,0} \pmod{W^{(i)}_j - \zeta^{k'}_{r^{(i)}_j} b^{(j)}_{i,q}(x)} \right)$$

for $0 \le k < k' \le r^{(i)}_j - 1$. So the eigenvalues of $\nabla^{(i)}_j$ on $V^{(i)}_{j,0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{(\tilde{D}_i)_x}/(z_{i,q}(x))$ are mutually distinct for $q \neq 0$ and $b^{(j)}_{i,q}(x) \neq 0$. For $q \neq 0$ with $b^{(j)}_{i,q}(x) = 0$, we have

$$V^{(i)}_{j,0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{(D_{i,q})_x} \cong \mathbb{C}[W^{(i)}_j]/((W^{(i)}_j)^{r^{(i)}_j})$$

and the linear map $\mathsf{res}_{(D_{i,q})_x} \left(\nabla^{(i)}_j - c^{(i)}_{j,0} \frac{d(z_{i,0} \cdots z_{i,m_i-1})}{z_{i,0} \cdots z_{i,m_i-1}} \right)$ on $V^{(i)}_{j,0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{(D_{i,q})_x}$ is just the multiplication by $\sum_{l=1}^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j} c^{(i)}_{j,l} \frac{d(z_{i,0} z_{i,1} \cdots z_{i,m_i-1})}{z_{i,0} \cdots z_{i,m_i-1}} (W^{(i)}_j)^l$. So we can write

$$\mathsf{res}_{(D_{i,q})_x}(\nabla^{(i)}_j|_{(D_{i,q})_x} - \mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^{(i)}_j)|_{(D_{i,q})_x}) = c_1 W^{(i)}_j + c_2 (W^{(i)}_j)^2 + \cdots + c_{r^{(i)}_j - 1} (W^{(i)}_j)^{r^{(i)}_j - 1}$$

with $c_1 \neq 0$. Note that $\mathbb{C}[W^{(i)}_j]/((W^{(i)}_j)^{r^{(i)}_j})$-module structure on $V^{(i)}_{j,0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{(D_{i,q})_x}$ is equivalent to giving the action of $c_1 W^{(i)}_j + c_2 (W^{(i)}_j)^2 + \cdots + c_{r^{(i)}_j - 1} (W^{(i)}_j)^{r^{(i)}_j - 1}$ on $V^{(i)}_{j,0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{(D_{i,q})_x}$ which is of generic

nilpotent type and is recovered by $\nabla_j^{(i)}$. For $q=0$, we have $L_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_j^{(i)}]/(W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^k t) \cong \mathbb{C}$ on which $\mathsf{res}_{z_i}\left(\tilde{\nu}_{j,k}^{(i)} \pmod{W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^k t}\right)$ acts. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{(D_{i0})_x} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes 1} & L_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_j^{(i)}]/(W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^k t) \cong \mathbb{C} \\ \nabla_j^{(i)} \big\downarrow & & \tilde{\nu}_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes 1 \big\downarrow \\ V_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{(D_{i0})_x} \otimes \Omega_C^1(D) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes 1} & L_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_j^{(i)}]/(W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^k t) \otimes \Omega_C^1(D) \cong \mathbb{C} \cdot \dfrac{dz_i}{z_i} \end{array}$$

means that $(E, \nabla, \{V_{j,k}^{(i)}, L_{j,k}^{(i)}, \pi_{j,k}^{(i)}\}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C,t_i}$ gives a local regular singular parabolic connection at $(D_{i,0})_x$ with the eigenvalues $\mathsf{res}_{z_i}\left(\tilde{\nu}_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_j^{(i)}]/(W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^k t)\right)$. Thus we can see that the fiber $M_{\tilde{\nu},x}^{\mathrm{spl}}$ is nothing but the moduli space of simple regular singular parabolic connections with the assumption that the residue of the connection at $(D_{i,q})_x$ with $b_{i,q}(x) \neq 0$ and $q \neq 0$ is semi-simple of distinct eigenvalues and the residue of the connection at $(D_{i,q})_x$ with $b_{i,q}(x) = 0$ and $q \neq 0$ has a single eigenvalue β and its minimal polynomial is $(T-\beta)^{r_j^{(i)}}$.

Next we consider the fiber $M_{\tilde{\nu},y}^{\mathrm{spl}}$ over a point $y \in T'$ satisfying $h=0$ and $t \neq 0$ for the corresponding point of $T = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[t] \times H$. Note that we have

$$A_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes k(y) \cong \mathbb{C}[W_j^{(i)}]/(((W_j^{(i)})^{r_j^{(i)}} - t^{r_j^{(i)}})^{m_i - 1}(W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^k t))$$

and the surjection $p_{j,k}^{(i)} : V_{j,k}^{(i)} \longrightarrow L_{j,k}^{(i)}$ induces a direct sum decomposition

$$V_{j,0}^{(i)} \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{r_j^{(i)}-1} L_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_j^{(i)}]/((W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^k t)^{m_i}).$$

Each component $L_{j,k}^{(i)} \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_j^{(i)}]/((W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^k t)^{m_i})$ is preserved by $\tilde{\nu}_{j,k}^{(i)}$ and the leading coefficients of $\tilde{\nu}_{j,k}^{(i)} \pmod{(W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^k t)^{m_i}}$ in $\mathbb{C}[z_i]/(z_i^{m_i}) \dfrac{dz_i}{z_i^{m_i}} = \mathbb{C}[W_j^{(i)}]/((W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^k t)^{m_i}) \dfrac{d(W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^k t)}{(W_j^{(i)} - \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^k t)^{m_i}}$ are given by

$$\sum_{l=0}^{m_i r_j^{(i)} - r_j^{(i)}} c_{j,l}^{(i)} \zeta_{r_j^{(i)}}^{lk} t^l$$

and they are mutually distinct for $k = 0, \ldots, r_j^{(i)} - 1$, because of the definition of T' given by (†). Thus the fiber $M_{\tilde{\nu},y}^{\mathrm{spl}}$ is nothing but the moduli space of simple unramified irregular singular parabolic connections.

We define a complex $\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}_{M'}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}^0_{M'} = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{E}nd(\tilde{E}) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} u|_{\tilde{D}_{M'}}(\tilde{l}^{(i)}_j) \subset \tilde{l}^{(i)}_j \text{ for any } i,j \text{ and for the induced homomorphism} \\ u^{(i)}_j : \tilde{l}^{(i)}_j/\tilde{l}^{(i)}_{j+1} \longrightarrow \tilde{l}^{(i)}_j/\tilde{l}^{(i)}_{j+1},\ u^{(i)}_j(\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}) \subset \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k} \\ \text{and } \tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k} \circ (u^{(i)}_j \otimes \mathrm{id})|_{\ker \pi^{(i)}_{j,k}} = 0 \text{ for any } i,j,k \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^1_{M'} = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{E}nd(\tilde{E}) \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times M'/M'}((\tilde{D})_{M'}) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} v|_{\tilde{D}_{M'}}(\tilde{l}^{(i)}_j) \subset \tilde{l}^{(i)}_j \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times M'/M'}((\tilde{D})_{M'}) \\ \text{for any } i,j \text{ and for the induced homomorphism} \\ v^{(i)}_j : \tilde{l}^{(i)}_j/\tilde{l}^{(i)}_{j+1} \longrightarrow \tilde{l}^{(i)}_j/\tilde{l}^{(i)}_{j+1} \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times M'/M'}((\tilde{D})_{M'}), \\ v^{(i)}_j(\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}) \subset \tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \Omega^1_{C\times M'/M'}((\tilde{D})_{M'}) \\ \text{and } (\tilde{\pi}^{(i)}_{j,k} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ v^{(i)}_j|_{\tilde{V}^{(i)}_{j,k}} = 0 \text{ for any } i,j,k \end{array} \right\}$$

$\nabla_{\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}_{M'}} : \mathcal{F}^0_{M'} \ni u \mapsto \tilde{\nabla} u - u\tilde{\nabla} \in \mathcal{F}^1_{M'}$.

Then we can see that the relative tangent bundle $\Theta_{M'/T}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^1(\pi_{M'})_*(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}_{M'})$. If we define a pairing

$$\omega_{M'} \colon \mathbf{R}^1(\pi_{M'})_*(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}_{M'}) \times \mathbf{R}^1(\pi_{M'})_*(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}_{M'}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^2(\pi_{M'})_*(\Omega^{\bullet}_{C\times M'/M'}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{M'}$$
$$([(\{u_{\alpha\beta}\},\{v_\alpha\})],[(\{u'_{\alpha\beta}\},\{v'_\alpha\})]) \mapsto [(\{\mathrm{Tr}(u_{\alpha\beta}\circ u'_{\beta\gamma})\},\{\mathrm{Tr}(u_{\alpha\beta}\circ v'_\beta) - \mathrm{Tr}(v_\alpha \circ u'_{\alpha\beta})\}],$$

then $\omega_{M'}$ descends to a relative 2-form $\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \in H^0(M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \Omega^2_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}/T'})$.

The restriction of $\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ to the fiber over the point of T' corresponding to $t = 0$ and $h = 0$ is nothing but the 2-form $\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$. The restriction of $\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ to the fiber $M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}},x}$ over a point x corresponding to $h \neq 0$ is the 2-form on the moduli space of simple regular singular parabolic connections which can be defined in the same manner as in [10], section 7. We can prove that $\omega_{(M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})_x}$ is non-degenerate by the same proof as [10], Proposition 7.2 and $d\omega_{(M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})_x} = 0$ by the same proof as [10], Proposition 7.3.

The restriction of $\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ to the fiber over a point y of T' corresponding to $t \neq 0$ and $h = 0$ is the 2-form on the moduli space of simple unramified irregular singular parabolic connections, which can be defined in the same manner as in [13], section 4. We can prove that $\omega_{(M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})_y}$ is non-degenerate by the same proof as [13], Proposition 4.1. Since the induced homomorphism

$$\det(\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}) \colon \det(\Theta_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}/T'}) \longrightarrow \det(\Omega^1_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}/T'})$$

is isomorphic in codimension one, it is isomorphic on whole $M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$, because $M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ is normal. Thus the relative 2-form $\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ is non-degenerate. The non-degeneracy and d-closedness of $\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ imply the same properties for $\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} = \omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}|_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$. □

Restriction of the symplectic form $\omega_{M^{\mathrm{spl}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ to the moduli space $M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ gives the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *Take an exponent $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in N((r^{(i)}_j),a)$ such that the $\dfrac{w^{(i)}_j dw^{(i)}_j}{(w^{(i)}_j)^{m_i r^{(i)}_j - r^{(i)}_j + 1}}$-coefficient of $\nu^{(i)}_{j,k}$ does not vanish for any i,j. Then there is an algebraic symplectic form $\omega_{M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}$ on the moduli space $M^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{C,D}((r^{(i)}_j),a)_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-stable parabolic connections of generic ramified type with the exponent $\boldsymbol{\nu}$.*

References

[1] D. G. Babbitt and V. S. Varadarajan, Local moduli for meromorphic differential equations, Astérisque, 169–170 (1989), pp. 1–217.

[2] O. Biquard and P. Boalch, Wild non-abelian Hodge theory on curves, Comp. Math. 163 (2001) no. 2, 137–205.

[3] P. Boalch, Symplectic manifolds and isomonodromic deformations, Adv. Math. 163, no. 2 (2001), 137–205.
[4] P. Boalch, Simply-laced isomonodromy systems, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Eudes Sci. 116 (1) (2012), 1–68.
[5] P. Boalch, Geometry and braiding of Stokes data; Fission and wild character varieties. Ann. of Math. (2) 179 (2014), no. 1, 301–365.
[6] P. Boalch, Wild character varieties, points on the Riemann sphere and Calabi's examples, math-arXiv:1501.00930.
[7] P. Boalch and D. Yamakawa, Twisted wild character varieties, math:arXiv:1512.0809.
[8] C. L. Bremer and D. S. Sage, Moduli spaces of irregular singular connections, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2013, no. 8, 1800-1872.
[9] K. Hiroe and D. Yamakawa, Moduli spaces of meromorphic connections and quiver varieties. Adv. Math. 266 (2014), 120–151.
[10] M. Inaba, Moduli of parabolic connections on curves and the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, J. Algebraic Geom. 22 (2013), no. 3, 407–480.
[11] M. Inaba, K. Iwasaki and M.-H. Saito, Moduli of Stable Parabolic Connections, Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and Geometry of Painlevé equation of type VI, Part I, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 42 (2006) no. 4, 987–1089.
[12] M. Inaba, K. Iwasaki and M.-H. Saito, Dynamics of the sixth Pailevé Equations, in Théories asymptotiques et équations de Painlevé, Sémin. Congr., vol. 14, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2006, 103–167.
[13] M. Inaba and M.-H. Saito, Moduli of unramified irregular singular parabolic connections on a smooth projective curve, Kyoto J. Math. 53 (2013), no. 2, 433482.
[14] K. Okamoto, Sur les feuilletages associés aux équations du second ordre á points critiques fixes de P. Painlevé, Japan. Math. 5, 1979, no. 1, 1–79.
[15] M. van der Put and M.-H. Saito, Moduli spaces for linear differential equations and the Painlevé equations, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 59 (2009), no. 7, 2611-2667.
[16] H. Sakai, Rational surfaces associated with affine root systems and geometry of the Painlevé equations. Comm. Math. Phys. 220 (2001), no. 1, 165–229.
[17] Y. Sibuya, Linear differential equations in the complex domain: problems of analytic continuation, Transl. of Math. Monographs Vol. 82, A.M.S, (1990).
[18] C. T. Simpson, Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. I. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 79 (1994), 47–129.
[19] C. T. Simpson, Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. I. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 80 (1994), 5–79.

Michi-aki Inaba, Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan
E-mail address: inaba@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp